1 2 3 4	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (9/26/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (8/13/2024) UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (7/15/24) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON PROPOSAL (4/18/2024)
5 6	Document Key
7	UA new   UA deletion   UO new   UO deletion   Accepted   Deleted   Status Quo   Restored
8	
9	<b>ARTICLE 20. TENURE REVIEW AND PROMOTION</b>
10	Section 1. This Article analies only to honosining whit feaulty members in the Tenung Treak and
11 12 13 14	<b>Section 1.</b> This Article applies only to bargaining unit faculty members in the Tenure-Track and Tenured classification. Tenure is in the University, and not in a college, school, department, program, or discipline. The award of tenure requires an express grant by the Provost communicated in writing to the bargaining unit faculty member and signed by the Provost. There
15 16 17 18	is no de facto tenure. Tenure means that the bargaining unit faculty member's employment may be terminated only for cause (Article 24), or in case of program eliminations or reductions (Article 25).
19 20 21 22 23 24	<b>Section 2. Standards and Guidelines.</b> The University follows the same general timetable, process, and standards of performance for evaluation and promotion as do many other public research universities, particularly AAU institutions. The University also considers AAUP guidelines for tenure review and promotion. All department or unit review guidelines shall be established and revised by the processes set out in Article 4.
25 26 27	Reviews
28 29 30 31 32 33	Section 3. Reviews for bargaining unit faculty members in the Tenure-Track and Tenured classification will consist of (1) annual reviews for faculty not holding tenure; (2) mid-term reviews between appointment and tenure review for the faculty without tenure; (3) tenure and promotion reviews; (4) third-year post-tenure reviews for tenured faculty in the third year following: [ <i>inserted list formatting for clarity</i> ] a. a tenure and/or promotion decision, or
34 35 36	b. a previous third-year review for associate professors (if a promotion to full professor review is not taking place in the same year);, or
37 38	(5) promotion-to-full-professor review for tenured faculty in their sixth year or later after receiving tenure; and (6) sixth-year post-tenure reviews for full professors tenured faculty in
39 40 41 42	their sixth year following a tenure and/or promotion to full decision or following a previous sixth-year review.
42 43 44	General Review Provisions
45 46 47	Section 4. Accelerated Early Review. An accelerated early tenure review may occur in particularly meritorious cases as determined by the Office of the Provost in consultation with the appropriate dean, department, or unit head, and the bargaining unit faculty member.

- 48 49
- days' notice of any meeting or hearing, which the member is invited or required to attend with a 50 51 dean or the Provost or designee regarding recommendations or decisions on promotion or tenure. The bargaining unit faculty member may have a colleague or Union representative present at the 52 meeting as an observer. 53 54 55 Section 6. Waiver of Access to Materials. Bargaining unit members have the right whether to waive in advance in writing their access to see any or all of the evaluative materials (see Article 56 8, Personnel Files). The choice by the bargaining unit faculty member to waive or not waive 57 access to evaluative materials shall not be considered during the evaluation process. Such 58 59 waivers, however, shall not preclude the use of redacted versions of these documents in an appeal process (Article 21). The redacted versions are intended to protect the identity of 60 reviewers, who are informed about the faculty member's waiver choice. 61 62 Section 7. Stopping of the "Tenure, Promotion, and Review Clock." The "tenure, promotion, 63 64 and review clock" shall be stopped for one year in the following circumstances, unless the bargaining unit faculty member specifies otherwise: 65 a. for one year upon the birth or adoption of a child; 66 b. due to a leave of absence or intermittent leave with a duration of twelve weeks or longer 67 as a result of an ADA or FMLA qualifying event. 68 69 70 The review clock may also be stopped in other extraordinary circumstances, including up to two years for approved leaves of absence without pay lasting two or more terms during each year of 71 the approved leave, as approved by the Office of the Provost. 72 73 74 If the faculty member opts to restore the period when the clock was stopped, they may apply for review at the time they would have become eligible without the stopping of the clock. Leaves not 75 resulting in a clock stoppage will be considered as a part of review periods. This Section applies 76 to mid-term, tenure, and promotion reviews, as well as third-year and sixth-year post-tenure 77 78 reviews. No more than two one-year clock extensions may be granted between reviews for reasons a. and b. above (i.e., up to two one-year clock extensions may be granted between 79 successive third-year reviews, with the second third-year review taking place in the fifth year). 80

Section 5. Notice of Meetings. A bargaining unit faculty member will receive at least three

81

82 Section 8. Relevant Information. Only significant information relevant to the review shall be included in a review file. Relevant information is information that relates to the review criteria as 83 defined in this Agreement. Relevant information may include disciplinary action taken against 84 the bargaining unit faculty member, if the underlying acts relate to or affect the faculty member's 85 ability to meet the review criteria. Information not relevant to the review or information that 86 87 contains allegations that have not been fully reviewed by the appropriate office (research misconduct, office of investigations and civil rights compliance, employee and labor relations, 88 etc.) shall not be included in the file initially, although allegations that relate to relevant 89 information may be included if they are sustained after an appropriate review. 90 91 Reviews shall consider any lack of resources necessary to the performance of professional 92

- 93 responsibilities that were identified in previous workload discussions.
- 94

95 Section 9. Review Evaluations and Recommendations. If, at any level of review, the
96 evaluation or recommendation regarding the faculty member's progress toward tenure and
97 promotion differs materially from any previous level of review, such evaluation must clearly
98 justify each material difference and cite specific evidence from the file to support the differing
99 evaluation.

100

Section 10. Request for Clarification. If, within periods to provide responsive material or information for the evaluation file, the bargaining unit faculty member makes a good faith request for clarification or additional detail regarding the reasoning or evidentiary basis for the differing assessment of the faculty member's file, the evaluator will make a good faith effort to provide such additional detail or clarification within 14 days from the date of receiving such request. The bargaining unit faculty member will then have an additional 14 days from receipt of the response to provide supplemental responsive material or information for the evaluation file.

108

## 110 **Pre-Tenure Reviews**

111

Section 9. Annual Pre-Tenure Reviews. Each tenure-track bargaining unit faculty member who has not received tenure and is not in the process of a tenure review will have an annual review conducted by the department or unit head or designee. These annual reviews provide an opportunity to evaluate the tenure-track bargaining unit faculty member's performance and offer an opportunity to address problems and to support faculty members in their progress toward the mid-term and tenure reviews.

118 119

## 120 Mid-Term Reviews

121

Section 10. Purpose, Outcomes and Appeals. Mid-term reviews shall be an assessment of the
 bargaining unit faculty member's progress toward tenure and should assist the faculty member's
 development. The outcome of a mid-term review shall be either: [*inserted list formatting for clarity*]

- a. (1)-a contract until the end of the faculty member's tenure and promotion review year,
   which allows for the possibility of identifying any concerns that should be addressed
   prior to consideration for promotion and tenure, as well as providing appropriate support;
   or, ; (2) a one- or two-year contract specifying an additional mid-term review; or (3)
- b. a one-year, terminal contract in rare cases and when there is consensus across all levels of review. Only a review decision resulting in a terminal contract may be appealed through the process specified in Article 21. If a two-year contract is issued under (2) and the subsequent mid-term review is not successful, the bargaining unit faculty member's employment will end with the expiration of the contract.
- 135

136 Section 11. Timing. Each tenure-track bargaining unit faculty member who has not received 137 tenure will have a mid-term review approximately halfway between appointment and eligibility

for tenure, except those appointed with a tenure review date three years or less from the time of

their initial appointment (Article 16, Section 6). The timing of this review generally will be

140 established at the time of appointment, in that this review will usually take place during the last

141 year of the bargaining unit faculty member's initial contract. A successful review is one

142 prerequisite for contract renewal.

143

148

157

161

162

163

164 165

174

180

187

- Section 12. Mid-Term Review Period. The review will include all research, teaching, and
   service accomplished since the beginning of the faculty member's employment in the current
   position in addition to other materials specified by the faculty member's hiring agreement
   (Article 16). Leaves are considered consistent with Section 7.
- Section 13. Initiating the Mid-Term Review. To initiate the mid-term review process, the
   department or unit head or designee will contact the bargaining unit faculty member during the
   fall term of the year in which the review will take place and request the following:
- 152
  153
  1. Election of Criteria: The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed relative to the criteria in effect when their employment began. If the criteria have changed since the beginning of employment, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of criteria.
- Curriculum vitae: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the
   faculty member's current research, scholarly and creative activities and accomplishments,
   including publications, appointments, presentations, and similar activities.
  - 3. Scholarship portfolio: A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, research, and creative activity during the review period; and appropriate evidence of national or international recognition or impact.
- 4. **Personal statement:** A 3–6-page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit 166 faculty member explaining how their provided material relates to demonstrates they are 167 on track to meet meeting evaluating their performance measured against the applicable 168 unit-level criteria for tenure and promotion. The personal statement should expressly 169 address the subjects of teaching; scholarship, research, and creative activity; service 170 contributions to the academic department, center or institute, school or college, 171 university, profession, and the community; and contributions to diversity, equity, and 172 inclusion. 173
- 5. Teaching portfolio: Representative examples of course syllabi or equivalent descriptions of course content and instructional expectations for courses taught by the bargaining unit faculty member, examples of class assignments and exams, information from student experience surveys, which will be considered in light of the response rate, and similar material.
- 6. Service portfolio: As applicable available, evidence of the bargaining unit faculty
  member's service contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school
  or college, university, profession, and the community. Such evidence could include white
  papers authored or co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, op-ed
  pieces, and/or letters of appreciation. The portfolio may also include a short statement on
  the faculty member's unique service experiences or obligations.
- 188 Section 14. Department or Unit Head's Role. The department or unit head will obtain and

place in the evaluation file copies of summary reports from the teaching student evaluation 189 process, including Student Experience Surveys. The file must also include recent peer 190 evaluations of the bargaining unit faculty member's teaching that is aligned with the university-191 192 wide teaching standards established by the University Senate. 193 194 Once the department or unit head has obtained all of the appropriate documents and information, they will establish a committee of tenured faculty and provide the committee with access to the 195 documents and information. The department or unit head will then: 196 197 198 1. Obtain a report from the faculty committee including an assessment of the bargaining unit faculty member's progress toward tenure and promotion; and 199 200 2. Prepare their own independent evaluation of the bargaining unit member's progress 201 toward tenure and promotion that takes into account the committee report and 202 departmental discussion, and is consistent with Section 9; and 203 204 3. Provide the department or unit head's written report to the bargaining unit faculty 205 member and allow the faculty member 10 days from the date of the receipt of the report 206 to provide responsive material or information, which shall be included in the evaluation 207 file; and 208 209 4. Submit the evaluation file to the appropriate dean. 210 211 If a department or unit has or develops a policy or practice of providing the report of the faculty 212 committee to the bargaining unit faculty member, the department or unit head shall do so. 213 214 Section 15. Dean's Role. The dean will review the file and may consult with appropriate persons 215 and may obtain and document additional relevant information. Once the dean deems the file 216 complete, they will prepare a separate report and recommendation. The dean will share their 217 written report and recommendation with the bargaining unit faculty member and allow the 218 faculty member 10 14 days from the date of receipt of the report to provide responsive material 219 or information, which shall be included in the evaluation file. The dean then will submit a 220 summary report including dean's recommendation, department head's recommendation, faculty 221 222 committee report, and faculty member's curriculum vitae, statement, and responsive material or 223 information to the Provost or designee. 224 225 Section 16. Provost's Role. The Provost or designee will consider the cumulative recommendations received from department faculty, the department or unit head, and the dean, 226 and then will decide the terms and duration of any subsequent appointment of the bargaining unit 227 faculty member. Upon Provost review, the summary report will be placed in the faculty 228 229 member's departmental or college personnel file and a decision conveyed in writing to the faculty member no later than June 1. 230 231 232 **Tenure Review Process** 233 234 Section 17. Eligibility for tenure review. Except as authorized in writing by the Provost or 235

designee, a bargaining unit faculty member is entitled to a decision on tenure only after six 236 consecutive academic or fiscal years of employment at or above the FTE at which they were 237 hired. 1.0 FTE per year or the equivalent of consecutive part time employment at or above 0.5 238 239 FTE per year. An appointment is considered consecutive even if interrupted by one or more approved leaves of absence. Leaves are considered consistent with Section 7. 240 241 242 Section 18. Tenure Review period. The tenure review will include all research, teaching, and service accomplished since the beginning of the faculty member's employment in the current 243 position in addition to other materials specified by the faculty member's hiring agreement 244 245 (Article 16). Leaves of absence not resulting in a clock stoppage will be considered as part of the review period. 246 247 248 Section 19. Initiating the Tenure Review Process. To initiate the tenure review process, the 249 department or unit head will contact the bargaining unit faculty member no later than winter term of the year preceding the year in which a tenure decision is required and request the following: 250 251 1. Election of Criteria: The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed relative to the 252 criteria in effect during their last mid-term review. If the criteria have changed since the 253 last mid-term review, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of 254 criteria. 255 256 2. Curriculum vitae: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the 257 faculty member's current research, scholarly, and creative activities and 258 accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations, and similar 259 activities and accomplishments. This document should clearly differentiate between 260 accomplishments that occurred during the review period and those that did not. 261 262 3. Scholarship portfolio: A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, research and creative 263 264 activity during the review period; and appropriate evidence of national or international recognition or impact. 265 266 4. **Personal statement:** A 3-6 page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit 267 faculty member explaining how their provided material relates to demonstrates meeting 268 evaluating their performance measured against the applicable unit-level criteria for tenure 269 and promotion. The personal statement should expressly address the subjects of teaching; 270 scholarship, research, and creative activity; service contributions to the academic 271 department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the 272 community; and contributions to diversity, equity, and inclusion. 273 274 5. Teaching portfolio: Representative examples of course syllabi or equivalent descriptions 275 of course content and instructional expectations for courses taught by the bargaining unit 276 faculty member, examples of class assignments and exams, information from student 277 experience surveys, which will be considered in light of the response rate, and similar 278 material. 279 280 6. Service portfolio: As available, evidence of the bargaining unit faculty member's service 281 contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school or college, 282

university, profession, and the community. Such evidence could include white papers
authored or co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, op-ed pieces,
and/or letters of appreciation. The portfolio may also include a short narrative elaborating
on the faculty member's unique service experiences or obligations.

287 288 289

290

293

7. External reviewers: At the option of the bargaining unit faculty member, A a list of qualified outside reviewers provided by the bargaining unit faculty member.

All material in this Section, along with the following items, will be included in the TenureReview File:

8. Additional Information. Faculty members and/or the University may submit relevant 294 information during a review from the date information is initially submitted to their 295 department head through the date the Provost issues the final decision. Late submissions 296 of information may result in additional questions to the faculty member or to reviewers at 297 the previous levels. Additional information may include work completed during the 298 review year, if such information or material is included, it may not be included in the 299 review period of subsequent reviews. If detrimental information is added to their file, the 300 bargaining unit faculty member will be notified and may add a response or request the 301 file go back to their department or unit faculty personnel committee for review, which 302 may result in a decision delay. The additional faculty personnel committee report must be 303 submitted along with the new information for inclusion in the Tenure Review File. 304

306 307

308

305

9. **Mid-Term Review(s)**. The dean's summary report and the Provost's final decision from any mid-term reviews conducted will be included.

Section 20. Schedule for Review of Tenure and Promotion Files. The Office of the Provost 309 will establish a schedule for the compilation and review of tenure and promotion files. If the 310 bargaining unit faculty member fails to comply with the timeline established by the Provost for 311 submission of materials, the department or unit head will notify the faculty member of the 312 missed deadline by university email and the primary phone on record in the Banner system. If 313 the faculty member does not respond within 14 days, tenure may be denied. If the faculty 314 member responds within 14 days, the department or unit head will establish a new deadline for 315 submission of all materials. 316

317

The new deadline must allow the University adequate time to complete the tenure review processby June 1. If the faculty member misses the new deadline, tenure will be denied.

320

Section 21. External reviews. The department or unit head will prepare a list of qualified 321 external reviewers, with input from the department or unit faculty eligible to vote on a tenure and 322 promotion case. The department or unit head will select a majority of the external reviewers from 323 this independently prepared list, but the department or unit head's primary responsibility is to 324 obtain the best judgments from the most highly qualified experts in the appropriate areas. Most, 325 if not all, of the external reviewers should be at the rank for which the candidate is being 326 considered or above (i.e., associate professor or professor for tenure and promotion to associate 327 professor; professor for promotion to professor). Reviewers generally should come from 328 comparable AAU and research intensive institutions or programs. The suggestions regarding 329

affiliations apply to the majority of external reviewers and are not strict prohibitions. A

- 331 minimum of five substantive external evaluations is required for a tenure case to move forward.
- 332

333 The department or unit head will recruit external reviewers from the list prepared by the

department or unit head and the separate list provided by the bargaining unit faculty member. A

335 absolute majority of external reviews included in the file must be provided by reviewers selected 336 by the department or unit and not included on the list of reviewers provided by the faculty

337 member under review. If there is overlap between the independently prepared lists, the external

reviewer counts as a unit selection. The department or unit head will provide each external

reviewer with the candidate's signed and dated curriculum vitae, signed and dated personal

340 statement, the candidate's scholarship portfolio, and the department's or unit's written criteria for 341 promotion and tenure. External reviewers may not be asked to evaluate the candidate against the 342 standards of their own institution.

343

344 Section 22. Faculty Review. The eligible faculty in the candidate's department or unit, or a personnel committee comprised of a subset of the eligible faculty (if the department's or unit's 345 internal policy specifies the creation of such a committee), will review the file and the external 346 reviews, prepare a report, and vote. In cases where there are too few eligible faculty members to 347 form a personnel committee within the candidate's department or unit, the department or unit 348 head will work with the appropriate dean to establish a committee including appropriate faculty 349 members from outside the department. A final vote will be conducted by signed ballot, which 350 may happen electronically, and the ballots will remain confidential to the extent permitted by 351 law. The department or unit head will inform the faculty member whether the vote was positive 352 or not. A de-identified vote tally, however, will be provided to the faculty member by the 353 department or unit head in the event of an appeal. 354

355

356 Section 23. Review by Department or Unit Head, College or School Personnel Committee,

357 and Dean. The department or unit head will prepare their own an independent report and 358 recommendation that takes into account the committee report and departmental discussion

consistent with Section 9 based on their own judgment of the file, and then forward the entire file

to the appropriate dean. The file then will be reviewed by a school- or college-level personnel

361 committee appointed by a process determined by the dean. The committee will prepare their own

an independent report consistent with Section 9, and vote, and will forward the entire file to the
 dean. This step may be bypassed in schools or colleges whose deans choose not to convene a

personnel committee. The dean will then prepare their own <del>an independent</del> report and

recommendation based on their own judgment of the file and consistent with Section 9, and then

366 meet with the candidate to discuss the case, review the recommendations made by the

367 department committee, department or unit head, and the school or college-level personnel

368 committee (if applicable), and the dean's own recommendation. The candidate will be provided

with a copy of the dean's report that has been redacted in accordance with the waiver status to protect personally identifiable information. The candidate may provide responsive material for

the file within 10 14 days of the meeting with the dean or the receipt of the redacted report,

whichever is later. The dean will then forward the entire file to the Office of the Provost.

373

Section 24. Provost's Review of File. The Provost or designee will review the promotion and
tenure file for completeness, and general presentation, and may request additional information
from the dean. The file forwarded to the Provost or designee should include the contents listed in

377 Appendix 3: Tenure Review File Checklist.

378
379 Section 25. University Faculty Personnel Committee Review. After the Provost or designee
has reviewed the file and deemed it complete, the file is sent to the University Faculty Personnel
Committee (FPC). The committee will review the file, request additional information from the
Office of the Provost, or previous levels of review, if necessary, and then discuss and record a
vote to recommend that tenure and promotion is either granted or denied. The committee will
prepare a written summary of its discussion, which will include the outcome of the vote.

385

Section 26. Provost's Decision. The Provost has plenary authority to award or deny tenure. The candidate will be notified in writing of the Provost's decision. The letter accompanying the decision will contain an explanation of the reasons underlying the Provost's decision, if the decision is to deny tenure or promotion. A tenured appointment may not be less than 0.50 FTE. If tenure is granted, the letter will include a statement indicating the FTE of the tenured appointment. The letter will be placed in the candidate's personnel file. The foregoing does not

- 392 preclude a subsequent written agreement between the Provost or designee and the candidate 393 adjusting the FTE of the appointment, so long as the appointment is at least 0.50 FTE.
- 393 394

Successful candidates are granted tenure and assume their new classification and rank at the start of the next academic year, or sooner at the discretion of the Provost. Candidates who are denied tenure will receive a notice of appointment, which expires at the end of the academic or fiscal year following the one in which the application for tenure was submitted

398 year following the one in which the application for tenure was submitted.399

Section 27. Withdrawal of Application. A bargaining unit faculty member may withdraw an
 application for tenure in writing to the Provost and the dean at any time before the Provost's
 decision. Upon withdrawal, a bargaining unit faculty member will receive a notice of
 appointment which expires at the end of the academic or fiscal year following the one in which
 the application for tenure was submitted.

405 406

## 407 **Promotion to Full Professor Review**

408

409 Section 28. Promotion from Associate Professor to Professor. The criteria for promotion to 410 full professor are those outlined in the bargaining unit faculty member's unit-level policy. The 411 process and timelines for review and evaluation for promotion from associate professor to 412 professor are the same as those for promotion to associate professor and tenure, except: 413 414 1. There is no requirement to initiate the promotion process to professor

413 414 415

421

- 1. There is no requirement to initiate the promotion process to professor.
- 416
  417
  418
  2. Bargaining unit faculty members with tenure who are denied promotion from associate professor to professor will remain employed at the associate professor rank.
- 419
  420
  3. If the review criteria have changed during the six years prior to the review, the faculty member may elect either the earlier or current set of criteria.
- 422 4. The results of post-tenure reviews during the review period will be included in the423 promotion file.

- 424
- 425 5. The review period for promotion reviews shall include all work accomplished since being awarded tenure.

## 428 **Post-Tenure Reviews**

429

427

430 Section 29. Tenured bargaining unit faculty members at the rank of associate professor will have a third-year review in the third year following promotion and every three years thereafter until 431 promotion to full professor. Tenured associate professors will not be required to complete a 432 433 third-year review in a year when they are seeking a promotion to full professor. Following promotion, full professors will have alternating third-year reviews and major sixth-year post-434 435 tenure reviews. The primary function of post-tenure reviews is are to foster continued faculty 436 professional growth and is are not a process to reevaluate the award of tenure. If a review is not 437 successful, then a development plan may be established (Section 37). The post-tenure review process may not be used to shift the university's burden of proof in a proceeding to terminate a 438 439 tenured faculty member for cause.

440

Section 30. Third-Year Post-Tenure Reviews. Third-year post-tenure reviews will be informal 441 reviews unless a department head and dean agree, or the Office of the Provost determines, that a 442 formal review is necessary for the faculty member to meet expectations for a subsequent review. 443 a. Informal Third-Year Reviews. The informal third-year post-tenure review is conducted by 444 the appropriate department or unit head with the bargaining unit faculty member. Review 445 Informal review materials will typically consist of a curriculum vitae, a brief personal statement 446 (up to 3 pages) accounting for and explaining anything not clear from their CV, materials for the 447 evaluation of teaching (where applicable), and a sabbatical report (where applicable) (Section 448 33). As a result of the review, the department or unit head will prepare a concise statement that 449 includes an evaluation of whether the faculty member is exceeding, meeting, or not meeting 450 451 expectations under their unit level policy (or Section 38, as appropriate). The department head will and share their statement it with the bargaining unit faculty member, who will have 10 14 452 business days to respond in writing. The review materials, head's statement, and faculty 453 member's response are then sent to the dean and then to the Office of the Provost for approval. 454 455 The head's statement and any response from the bargaining unit faculty member, dean, and Office of the Provost will be placed in the bargaining unit faculty member's personnel file. If in 456 457 the process of the informal review, the department or unit head and dean agree, or the Office of the Provost determines, that a formal review is necessary to meet expectations on a subsequent 458 459 review, they will initiate the Formal Review process below and notify the faculty member. If a 460 formal review is initiated, the statement and response will become part of the formal review dossier. b. Formal Third-Year Reviews. This process applies to associate and full professors 461 who undergo a formal third-year post-tenure review. The department or unit head will convene a 462 463 faculty personnel committee (if one does not already exist in the department or unit) that will review a faculty member's work in relation to the unit-level post-tenure review criteria, or the 464 criteria in Section 38. If unit-level policies require a vote, the tenured department faculty with the 465 same or higher rank, not including the candidate, will vote to endorse the committee's report and 466 recommendation. The department or unit head will write a separate report in light of the 467 materials gathered and the faculty committee's report and, if required, the faculty vote. The 468 469 department or unit head will meet with the faculty member and will provide a copy of the head's report and the redacted faculty committee's report. The faculty member will have 10 business 470

days from the date of the receipt of the report to provide responsive material or information, 471 which shall be included in the evaluation file. These will be reviewed by the dean and the Office 472 of the Provost. If there is consensus between the head, dean, or and Office of the Provost result 473 474 of the review is to recommends to implement a development plan, then the head and faculty member will develop one in consultation with the dean to be approved by the Office of the 475 Provost (Section 37). 476 477 478 Section 31. Sixth-Year Review. Only full professors will have sixth-year post-tenure reviews, which will be . Tenured bargaining unit faculty members will have a review in the sixth year 479 480 following promotion to full professor or six years after their previous-a-sixth-year post-tenure review. 481 482 483 Section 32. Sixth-Year Review Period. The review period will include all work accomplished during the previous six years, taking into account any leaves and resulting clock stoppages 484 485 (Section 7). 486 Section 33. Initiating the Sixth-Year Review. To initiate the review process, the department or 487 unit head or designee will contact the bargaining unit faculty member during the fall term of the 488 year in which the review will take place and request the following: 489 490 1. Criteria: Criteria for sixth-year post-tenure reviews will be as specified in Section 38 491 below unless the department or unit has approved post-tenure review criteria. If the 492 review criteria have changed during the six years prior to the review, the faculty member 493 may elect either the earlier or current set of criteria. 494 495 496 2. Curriculum vitae: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the faculty member's current research, scholarly, and creative activities and 497 accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations and similar 498 499 activities. This document should clearly differentiate between accomplishments that occurred during the review period and those that did not. 500 501 3. **Personal statement:** A 3-6 page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit 502 faculty member explaining how their provided material relates to demonstrates meeting 503 504 or exceeding evaluating their performance measured against the applicable unit-level criteria for post-tenure review. The personal statement should expressly address the 505 subjects of teaching; scholarship, research, and creative activity; service contributions to 506 the academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and 507 the community; and contributions to diversity, equity and inclusion. 508 509 4. Sabbatical report: A report of the accomplishments and benefits resulting from 510 sabbatical, if applicable. 511 512 Section 34. Department or Unit Head's Role. The department or unit head or designee will 513 obtain and place in the evaluation file copies of summary reports drawn, as appropriate, from the 514 system of teaching student evaluation of teaching evaluation that was in effect prior to Fall 2019 515 and the Student Experience Surveys that were effective as of Fall 2019. The file must also 516

517 include a recent peer evaluation of the bargaining unit faculty member's teaching. Peer teaching

reviews should be aligned with the university-wide teaching standards as established by the 518 University Senate. 519 520 521 Once the department or unit head has obtained all of the appropriate documents and information, they will establish a committee of full professors and provide the committee with access to the 522 documents and information. The faculty committee will prepare a report and a recommendation 523 regarding the outcome of the review. The report and recommendation will be reviewed by the 524 committee who will vote on the recommendation. The department or unit head or designee will 525 526 then: 527 1. Obtain a report from the faculty committee including an assessment of the bargaining 528 unit faculty member's performance, a recommendation regarding the outcome of the 529 review, and the results of the faculty vote; and 530 531 2. Prepare their own independent an evaluation based on their own judgment of the 532 bargaining unit faculty member's performance that takes into account the committee 533 report and departmental discussion consistent with Section 9; and 534 535 3. Provide the department or unit head's report to the bargaining unit faculty member and 536 allow them 10 days from the date of the receipt of the report to provide responsive 537 material or information, which shall be included in the evaluation file; and 538 539 4. Submit the evaluation file to the appropriate dean. 540 541 If a department or unit has or develops a policy or practice of providing the report of the faculty 542 543 committee to the bargaining unit faculty member, the department or unit head shall do so. 544 Section 35. Dean's Role. The dean will review the file and may consult with appropriate persons 545 546 and may obtain and document additional relevant information. Once the dean deems the file complete, they will prepare a separate report and independent recommendation. The dean will 547 share their written report and recommendation with the bargaining unit faculty member, redacted 548 as appropriate, and allow them  $\frac{10}{14}$  days from the date of receipt of the report to provide 549 responsive material and information, which shall be included in the evaluation file. The dean will 550 then submit the complete evaluation file to the Office of the Provost. 551 552 Section 36. Provost's Role. The Provost or designee will consider the cumulative evaluations 553 received from the faculty committee, the department or unit head, and the dean. 554 555 [We are postponing discussion on this provision until we come to an agreement regarding merit 556 review provision] 557 558 559 If the Provost or designee concludes that the bargaining unit faculty member's performance 560 meets or exceeds expectations in all areas of a sixth-year review, the bargaining unit faculty 561 member will receive an increase in their base salary per Article 26. 562 563 Section 37. Development Plans. If the Provost concludes that the bargaining unit faculty 564 member's performance does not meet expectations in one or more areas, the dean and the 565

566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573	department or unit head shall consult with the bargaining unit faculty member and shall recommend to the Provost a development plan for demonstrable improvement in the area(s) at issue. The goal of the plan is to put the faculty member on track to meet expectations in that area or areas at their subsequent review. The development plan should be implemented no later than the first term of the academic year following the review. Development plans may require adjustment of professional responsibilities (e.g., reduced service or teaching in order to support more research) and must specify a follow-up review timeline.			
575 574 575 576 577 578 579 580	If a faculty member has a development plan, the criteria in the area(s) specified in the development plan will be in effect for those areas of the subsequent review. If the faculty member does not meet expectations in the specified area(s), the Provost may reduce or reassign the faculty member's FTE associated with the specified area(s) or may establish a new development plan or both. If the faculty member's FTE is adjusted, future reviews will reflect the adjustment of duties.			
581 582 583	[We are postponing discussion on this provision until we come to an agreement regarding merit review provision]			
584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591	A bargaining unit faculty member who has had their FTE or duties adjusted as the result of an unsuccessful development plan may elect to have their standard workload restored if, on a subsequent post-tenure review, they meet or exceed expectations in the areas in which the faculty member had previously been determined not to have met expectations. If the faculty member meets or exceeds expectations as outlined in the unit policies, they may, if they so choose, resume their standard workload the Fall following the year the post-tenure review was initiated.			
592 593 594	<b>Section 38. Post-Tenure Review Criteria</b> . Review criteria for third- and sixth-year post-tenure review are as follows, unless a department or unit has an approved unit-level policy establishing their own post-tenure review criteria through the process specified in Article 4.			
595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603	In cases where a tenured faculty member has a workload other than the standard tenure-track workload in the department or unit (e.g., with larger teaching and smaller research FTE, or vice versa) or is working under a development plan, the standard for meeting expectations in a third- or sixth-year review will be established by these alternate arrangements and informed by the unit-level policy and the guidelines below. <i>[moved up from below]</i> Each of the three areas below should include contributions in teaching, research, and service that demonstrably promote diversity, equity, and inclusion.			
604	1. Teaching: Teaching standards as established by the University Senate.			
605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612	<ol> <li>Research, scholarship, creative, and artistic achievement: In general, research, scholarship, and creative achievement is demonstrated in the following categories. Each category applies in a given case only if that category is specified in the unit promotion and tenure policy. The standards of evaluation, unless otherwise specified by the unit policy, will be the standards established for promotion to full professor. Some categories to be considered include:         <ul> <li>a. publications and/or creative activities of significance and;</li> </ul> </li> </ol>			

613	b.	externally funded research;
614	c.	patents, intellectual property developed; technologies licensed, companies spun-
615		off;
616	d.	adoptions of research innovations by other researchers, organizations, or the
617		public;
618	e.	research awards and prizes;
619	f.	membership in the national academies or other selective research societies;
620	g.	research in progress and substantially planned work (including grant proposals);
621	h.	translational research or scholarship that influences public policy or contributes to
622		societal benefits;
623	i.	participation in conferences, conventions, seminars, and professional meetings;
624	j.	professional peer review, holding office in academic and professional
625	-	organizations, serving on committees and/or on editorial boards;
626	k.	association with organizations and groups that will result in professional
627		improvement of the faculty member and bring recognition to the university;
628	1.	research or professional consultation for federal agencies, foundations, or other
629		research sponsors;
630	m	recognized evidence of scholarly and professional visibility, such as special
631		awards, scholarly citations, and the republication of work;
632	n.	scope and depth of scholarship as revealed in public lectures, book reviews, and,
633		in special circumstances, discussions;
634	0.	works of art, such as painting, sculpture, design, planning, musical composition,
635		poetry, fiction, drama, dance, photography, and film disseminated or exhibited in
636		recognized venues of quality and distinction;
637	p.	public performances: musical recitals, concerts, conducting, theater performance
638		and production, dance performance and production, radio or television production
639		disseminated/exhibited in recognized venues of quality and distinction;
640	q.	
641		collections, awards.
642		
643		ce: Consistent with promotion to full professor as specified in the collective
644		ning agreement, senior faculty are expected to engage in significant service
645		nstrating leadership and commitment both within and outside the candidate's
646		ment or unit. Service must include some of the following:
647	a.	leadership in academic and administrative roles:
648		i. academic program area or departmental administration and curriculum;
649		ii. personnel and policy committees or activities;
650		iii. college or school administration and committees or activities;
651	1	iv. university or state system administration and committees or activities.
652	D.	service and activities on behalf of the larger community (local, state, national, and
653		international governmental bodies, NGOs, etc.);
654 655	c.	academic contributions to community activities, either as an individual or as a
655 656	Ł	representative of the university; service to professional and disciplinary organizations;
656 657		service to professional and disciplinary organizations; academic service on behalf of the public interest.
657 658	е.	academic service on benan of the public interest.
659	Imoved up for	r clarity] 4. Contributions in teaching, research, and service that demonstrably
555	Luci ca ap joi	even my contributions in teaching, research, and service that demonstrating

660 promote diversity, equity, and inclusion.