1	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (11/21/2024)
2	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (11/13/2024)
3	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (10/17/2024)
4	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (9/26/2024)
5	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (8/13/2024)
6	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (7/15/24)
7	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON PROPOSAL (4/18/2024)
8	
9	Document Key
10	UA new UA deletion UO new UO deletion Accepted Deleted Status Quo Restored
11	
12	[UO Trade: 10 vs. 14 days for a faculty member to provide a review response. UO can agree to
13	go from 10 days to 14 days in Section 15, 16, 24, 31, 35, & 36 in exchange for moving the
14	decision due date to June 15 from June 1 in Section 17 & 21. Current proposal reflects 10 days
15	and maintains June 1.]
16	and maintains Julie 1.]
10	ARTICLE 20. TENURE REVIEW AND PROMOTION
18	ARTICLE 20. TENORE REVIEW AND I ROMOTION
	Section 1. This Article applies only to bargaining unit faculty members in the Tenure-Track and
19 20	
20	Tenured classification. Tenure is in the University, and not in a college, school, department,
21	program, or discipline. The award of tenure requires an express grant by the Provost
22	communicated in writing to the bargaining unit faculty member and signed by the Provost. There
23	is no de facto tenure. Tenure means that the bargaining unit faculty member's employment may
24	be terminated only for cause (Article 24), or in case of program eliminations or reductions
25	(Article 25).
26	
27	Section 2. Standards and Guidelines. The University follows the same general timetable,
28	process, and standards of performance for evaluation and promotion as do many other public
29	research universities, particularly AAU institutions. The University also considers AAUP
30	guidelines for tenure review and promotion. All department or unit review guidelines shall be
31	established and revised by the processes set out in Article 4.
32	
33	
34	Reviews
35	
36	Section 3. Reviews for bargaining unit faculty members in the Tenure-Track and Tenured
37	classification will consist of (1) annual reviews for faculty not holding tenure; (2) mid-term
38	reviews between appointment and tenure review for the faculty without tenure; (3) tenure and
39	promotion reviews; (4) third-year post-tenure reviews for tenured faculty in the third year
40	following: [inserted list formatting for clarity]
41	a. a tenure and/or promotion decision, or
42	b. a previous third-year review for associate professors (if a promotion to full professor
43	review is not taking place in the same year);, or
44	c. following a sixth-year post-tenure review for full professors;
45	(5) promotion-to-full-professor review for tenured faculty in their sixth year or later after
46	receiving tenure; and (6) sixth-year post-tenure reviews for full professors tenured faculty in
47	their sixth year following a tenure and/or promotion to full decision or following a previous
	Jene Jene Jene de Contra e and or promotion to run decision of rono (ing a providab

- 48 sixth-year review.
- 49 50
- 51 General Review Provisions
- 52

Section 4. Accelerated Early Review. An accelerated early tenure review may occur in
 particularly meritorious cases as determined by the Office of the Provost in consultation with the
 appropriate dean, department, or unit head, and the bargaining unit faculty member.

56

57 Section 5. Notice of Meetings. A bargaining unit faculty member will receive at least three 58 days' notice of any meeting or hearing, which the member is invited or required to attend with a 59 dean or the Provost or designee regarding recommendations or decisions on promotion or tenure. 60 The bargaining unit faculty member may have a colleague or Union representative present at the 61 meeting as an observer.

61 62

63 Section 6. Waiver of Access to Materials. Bargaining unit members have the right whether to 64 waive in advance in writing their access to see any or all of the evaluative materials (see Article 65 8, Personnel Files). The choice by the bargaining unit faculty member to waive or not waive 66 access to evaluative materials shall not be considered during the evaluation process. Such 67 waivers, however, shall not preclude the use of redacted versions of these documents in an 68 appeal process (Article 21). The redacted versions are intended to protect the identity of 69 reviewers, who are informed about the faculty member's waiver choice.

70

Section 7. Stopping of the "Tenure, Promotion, and Review Clock." The "tenure, promotion,
 and review clock" shall be stopped for one year in the following circumstances, unless the
 bargaining unit faculty member specifies otherwise:

- a. for one year upon the birth or adoption of a child;
- b. due to a leave of absence or intermittent leave with a duration of twelve weeks or longer
 as a result of an ADA or FMLA qualifying event. No more than two extensions may be
 granted under this subsection between any clocked review (i.e., between successive
 PTRs).
- 79

The review clock may also be stopped in other extraordinary circumstances, including up to two
years for approved leaves of absence without pay lasting two or more terms during each year of
the approved leave, as approved by the Office of the Provost.

83

If the faculty member opts to restore the period when the clock was stopped, they may apply for review at the time they would have become eligible without the stopping of the clock. Leaves not

resulting in a clock stoppage will be considered as a part of review periods. This Section applies

- to mid-term, tenure, and promotion reviews, as well as third-year and sixth-year post-tenure
- 88 reviews. No more than two one-year clock extensions may be granted between reviews for
- 89 reasons a. and b. above (i.e., up to two one-year clock extensions may be granted between

90 successive third-year reviews, with the second third-year review taking place in the fifth year).

91

92 Section 8. Relevant Information. Only significant information relevant to the review shall be

- 93 included in a review file. Relevant information is information that relates to the review criteria as
- 94 defined in this Agreement. Relevant information may include disciplinary action taken against

95	the bargaining unit faculty member, if the underlying acts relate to or affect the faculty member's
96	ability to meet the review criteria. Information not relevant to the review or information that
97	contains allegations that have not been fully reviewed by the appropriate office (research
98	misconduct, office of investigations and civil rights compliance, employee and labor relations,
99	etc.) shall not be included in the file initially, although allegations that relate to relevant
100	information may be included if they are sustained after an appropriate review.
101	
102	[deletion moved to next section]Reviews shall consider any lack of resources necessary to the
103	performance of professional responsibilities that were identified in previous workload
104	discussions.
105	
106	Section 9. Review Evaluations and Considerations Recommendations. Reviews should
107	provide justifications for their conclusion based on the file, previous levels of review, and the
108	unit-level policy. Reviews shall consider any lack of resources necessary to the performance of
100	professional responsibilities that were identified in previous workload discussions (Article 17,
110	Section 3). <u>If, at any level of review, the evaluation or recommendation regarding the faculty</u>
111	member's progress toward tenure and promotion differs materially from any previous level of
112	review, such evaluation must clearly justify each material difference and cite specific evidence
112	from the file to support the differing evaluation.
114	nom the me to support the untering evaluation.
114	
115	Section 10. Request for Clarification. If, within periods to provide responsive material or
117	information for the evaluation file, the bargaining unit faculty member makes a good faith
117	request for clarification or additional detail regarding the reasoning or evidentiary basis for the
118	differing assessment of the faculty member's file, the evaluator will make a good faith effort to
	provide such additional detail or clarification within 14 days from the date of receiving such
120	
121	request. The bargaining unit faculty member will then have an additional 14 days from receipt of the memory ide supplemental responsive metarical or information for the evaluation file.
122	the response to provide supplemental responsive material or information for the evaluation file.
123	
124	Pre-Tenure Reviews
125	rie-ienure Keviews
126	Section 10. Annual Pre-Tenure Reviews. Each tenure-track bargaining unit faculty member
127	who has not received tenure and is not in the process of a tenure review will have an annual
128	
129	review conducted by the department or unit head or designee. These annual reviews provide an
130	opportunity to evaluate the tenure-track bargaining unit faculty member's performance and offer
131	an opportunity to address problems and to support faculty members in their progress toward the
132	mid-term and tenure reviews.
133	
134	
135	Mid-Term Reviews
136	
137	Section 11. Purpose, Outcomes and Appeals. Mid-term reviews shall be an assessment of the
138	bargaining unit faculty member's progress toward tenure and should assist the faculty member's
139	development. The outcome of a mid-term review shall be either: [inserted list formatting for
140	clarity]

a. (1) a contract until the end of the faculty member's tenure and promotion review year, 141 which allows for the possibility of identifying any concerns that should be addressed 142 prior to consideration for promotion and tenure, as well as providing constructive 143 feedback and appropriate support identified in the review; or, ; (2) a one-or two-year 144 contract specifying an additional mid-term review; or (3) 145 b. a one-year, terminal contract in rare cases where the review determines the faculty 146 member will be unable to meet the unit-level criteria for tenure and promotion by the 147 time that the tenure decision would otherwise be expected is made and when there is 148 consensus across all levels of review. Only a review decision resulting in a terminal 149 contract may be appealed through the process specified in Article 21. If a two-year 150 contract is issued under (2) and the subsequent mid-term review is not successful, the 151 bargaining unit faculty member's employment will end with the expiration of the 152 contract. 153 154 Section 12. Timing. Each tenure-track bargaining unit faculty member who has not received 155 156 tenure will have a mid-term review approximately halfway between appointment and eligibility for tenure, except those appointed with a tenure review date three years or less from the time of 157 their initial appointment (Article 16, Section 6). The timing of this review generally will be 158 159 established at the time of appointment, in that this review will usually take place during the last year of the bargaining unit faculty member's initial contract. A successful review is one 160 prerequisite for contract renewal. 161 162 Section 13. Mid-Term Review Period. The review will include all research, teaching, and 163 service accomplished since the beginning of the faculty member's employment in the current 164 position in addition to other materials specified by the faculty member's hiring agreement 165 (Article 16). Leaves are considered consistent with Section 7. 166 167 Section 14. Initiating the Mid-Term Review. To initiate the mid-term review process, the 168 department or unit head or designee will contact the bargaining unit faculty member during the 169 170 fall term of the year in which the review will take place and request the following: 171 1. Election of Criteria: The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed relative to the 172 criteria in effect when their employment began. If the criteria have changed since the 173 beginning of employment, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current 174 175 set of criteria. 176 2. Curriculum vitae: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the 177 faculty member's current research, scholarly and creative activities and accomplishments, 178 including publications, appointments, presentations, and similar activities. 179 180 3. Scholarship portfolio: A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, research, and creative 181 activity during the review period; and appropriate evidence of national or international 182 recognition or impact. 183 184

4. Personal statement: A 3–6-page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit faculty member explaining how their provided material relates to demonstrates they are on track to meet meeting evaluating their performance measured against the applicable

188 189 190 191 192 193		unit-level criteria for tenure and promotion. The personal statement should expressly address the subjects of teaching; scholarship, research, and creative activity; service contributions to the academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community; and contributions to diversity, equity, and inclusion.	
193 194 195 196 197 198 199	5.	Teaching portfolio: Representative examples of course syllabi or equivalent descriptions of course content and instructional expectations for courses taught by the bargaining unit faculty member, examples of class assignments and exams, information from student experience surveys, which will be considered in light of the response rate, and similar material.	
200 201 202 203 204 205	6.	Service portfolio: As applicable available, evidence of the bargaining unit faculty member's service contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community. Such evidence could include white papers authored or co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, op-ed pieces, and/or letters of appreciation. The portfolio may also include a short statement on the faculty member's unique service experiences or obligations.	
206 207 208 209 210 211	Section 15. Department or Unit Head's Role. The department or unit head will obtain and place in the evaluation file copies of summary reports from the teaching student evaluation process, including Student Experience Surveys. The file must also include recent peer evaluations of the bargaining unit faculty member's teaching that is aligned with the university-wide teaching standards established by the University Senate.		
212 213 214 215	Once the department or unit head has obtained all of the appropriate documents and information, they will establish a committee of tenured faculty and provide the committee with access to the documents and information. The department or unit head will then:		
216 217 218	1.	Obtain a report from the faculty committee including an assessment of the bargaining unit faculty member's progress toward tenure and promotion; and	
219 220 221 222 223 224	2.	Prepare their own independent evaluation of the bargaining unit member's progress toward tenure and promotion that considers the entire file along with previous levels of review, takes into account the committee report and departmental discussion, and is consistent with Section 9; and	
225 226 227 228 229	3.	Provide the department or unit head's written report to the bargaining unit faculty member and allow the faculty member 10 days from the date of the receipt of the report to provide responsive material or information, which shall be included in the evaluation file; and	
230	4.	Submit the evaluation file to the appropriate dean.	
231 232 233 234		partment or unit has or develops a policy or practice of providing the report of the faculty ittee to the bargaining unit faculty member, the department or unit head shall do so.	

Section 16. Dean's Role. The dean will review the file and may consult with appropriate persons
 and may obtain and document additional relevant information. Once the dean deems the file
 complete, they will prepare a separate report and recommendation. The dean will share their
 written report and recommendation with the bargaining unit faculty member and allow the

- faculty member 10 14-days from the date of receipt of the report to provide responsive material
- or information, which shall be included in the evaluation file. The dean then will submit a
- summary report including dean's recommendation, department head's recommendation, faculty
- committee report, and faculty member's curriculum vitae, statement, and responsive material orinformation to the Provost or designee.
- 244

Section 17. Provost's Role. The Provost or designee will consider the cumulative recommendations received from department faculty, the department or unit head, and the dean, and then will decide the terms and duration of any subsequent appointment of the bargaining unit faculty member. Upon Provost review, the summary report will be placed in the faculty member's departmental or college personnel file and a decision conveyed in writing to the

- 250 faculty member no later than June 1.
- 251 252

253 Tenure Review Process

Section 18. Eligibility for tenure review. Except as authorized in writing by the Provost or
designee, a bargaining unit faculty member is entitled to a decision on tenure only after six
consecutive academic or fiscal years of employment at or above the FTE at which they were
hired. 1.0 FTE per year or the equivalent of consecutive part time employment at or above 0.5
FTE per year. An appointment is considered consecutive even if interrupted by one or more
approved leaves of absence. Leaves are considered consistent with Section 7.

261

Section 19. Tenure Review period. The tenure review will include all research, teaching, and service accomplished since the beginning of the faculty member's employment in the current position in addition to other materials specified by the faculty member's hiring agreement (Article 16). Leaves of absence not resulting in a clock stoppage will be considered as part of the review period.

267

Section 20. Initiating the Tenure Review Process. To initiate the tenure review process, the
 department or unit head will contact the bargaining unit faculty member no later than winter term
 of the year preceding the year in which a tenure decision is required and request the following:

- Election of Criteria: The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed relative to the criteria in effect during their last mid-term review. If the criteria have changed since the last mid-term review, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of criteria.
- 276
 277
 2. Curriculum vitae: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the faculty member's current research, scholarly, and creative activities and accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations, and similar activities and accomplishments. This document should clearly differentiate between accomplishments that occurred during the review period and those that did not.

- 282
 283
 3. Scholarship portfolio: A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, research and creative activity during the review period; and appropriate evidence of national or international recognition or impact.
- 286 4. **Personal statement:** A 3-6 page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit 287 faculty member explaining how their provided material relates to demonstrates meeting 288 evaluating their performance measured against the applicable unit-level criteria for tenure 289 and promotion. The personal statement should expressly address the subjects of teaching; 290 scholarship, research, and creative activity; service contributions to the academic 291 department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the 292 community; and contributions to diversity, equity, and inclusion. 293 294
 - 5. **Teaching portfolio:** Representative examples of course syllabi or equivalent descriptions of course content and instructional expectations for courses taught by the bargaining unit faculty member, examples of class assignments and exams, information from student experience surveys, which will be considered in light of the response rate, and similar material.
 - 6. Service portfolio: As available, evidence of the bargaining unit faculty member's service contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community. Such evidence could include white papers authored or co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, op-ed pieces, and/or letters of appreciation. The portfolio may also include a short narrative elaborating on the faculty member's unique service experiences or obligations.
- 306 307 308

309 310

295

296

297 298

299 300

301

302

303 304

305

- 7. **External reviewers:** At the option of the bargaining unit faculty member, A a list of qualified outside reviewers provided by the bargaining unit faculty member.
- All material in this Section, along with the following items, will be included in the Tenure
 Review File:
- 8. Additional Information. Faculty members and/or the University may submit relevant 314 information during a review from the date information is initially submitted to their 315 department head through the date the Provost issues the final decision. Late submissions 316 of information may result in additional questions to the faculty member or to reviewers at 317 the previous levels. Additional information may include work completed during the 318 review year, if such information or material is included, it may not be included in the 319 review period of subsequent reviews. If detrimental information is added to their file, the 320 bargaining unit faculty member will be notified and may add a response or request the 321 file go back to their department or unit faculty personnel committee for review, which 322 323 may result in a decision delay. The additional faculty personnel committee report must be submitted along with the new information for inclusion in the Tenure Review File. 324 325
- Mid-Term Review(s). The dean's summary report and the Provost's final decision from any mid-term reviews conducted will be included.

Section 21. Schedule for Review of Tenure and Promotion Files. The Office of the Provost 329 will establish a schedule for the compilation and review of tenure and promotion files. If the 330 bargaining unit faculty member fails to comply with the timeline established by the Provost for 331 332 submission of materials, the department or unit head will notify the faculty member of the missed deadline by university email and the primary phone on record in the Banner system. If 333 the faculty member does not respond within 14 days, tenure may be denied. If the faculty 334 member responds within 14 days, the department or unit head will establish a new deadline for 335 submission of all materials. 336

337

338 The new deadline must allow the University adequate time to complete the tenure review process 339 by June 1. If the faculty member misses the new deadline, tenure will be denied.

340

341 Section 22. External reviews. The department or unit head will prepare a list of qualified external reviewers, with input from the department or unit faculty eligible to vote on a tenure and 342 promotion case. The department or unit head will select a majority of the external reviewers from 343

344 this independently prepared list, but the department or unit head's primary responsibility is to obtain the best judgments from the most highly qualified experts in the appropriate areas. Most,

- 345
- if not all, of the external reviewers should be at the rank for which the candidate is being 346 347 considered or above (i.e., associate professor or professor for tenure and promotion to associate
- professor; professor for promotion to professor). Reviewers generally should come from 348
- comparable AAU and research intensive institutions or programs. The suggestions regarding 349
- 350 affiliations apply to the majority of external reviewers and are not strict prohibitions. A
- minimum of five substantive external evaluations is required for a tenure case to move forward. 351
- 352

353 The department or unit head will recruit external reviewers from the list prepared by the

department or unit head and the separate list provided by the bargaining unit faculty member. A 354 absolute majority of external reviews included in the file must be provided by reviewers selected 355 by the department or unit and not included on the list of reviewers provided by the faculty 356 member under review. If there is overlap between the independently prepared lists, the external 357

reviewer counts as a unit selection. The department or unit head will provide each external 358

- reviewer with the candidate's signed and dated curriculum vitae, signed and dated personal 359
- statement, the candidate's scholarship portfolio, and the department's or unit's written criteria for 360 promotion and tenure. External reviewers may not be asked to evaluate the candidate against the 361
- 362 standards of their own institution.
- 363

364 Section 23. Faculty Review. The eligible faculty in the candidate's department or unit, or a personnel committee comprised of a subset of the eligible faculty (if the department's or unit's 365 internal policy specifies the creation of such a committee), will review the file and the external 366 367 reviews, prepare a report, and vote. In cases where there are too few eligible faculty members to form a personnel committee within the candidate's department or unit, the department or unit 368 head will work with the appropriate dean to establish a committee including appropriate faculty 369 members from outside the department. A final vote will be conducted by signed ballot, which 370 may happen electronically, and the ballots will remain confidential to the extent permitted by 371 law. The department or unit head will inform the faculty member whether the vote was positive 372

- or not. A de-identified vote tally, however, will be provided to the faculty member by the 373
- department or unit head in the event of an appeal. 374
- 375

376 Section 24. Review by Department or Unit Head, College or School Personnel Committee,

- **and Dean.** The department or unit head will prepare their own an independent report and
- recommendation that considers the entire file along with previous levels of review takes into
- account the committee report and departmental discussion consistent with Section 9 based on
 their own judgment of the file, and then forward the entire file to the appropriate dean. The file
- their own judgment of the file, and then forward the entire file to the appropriate dean. The file then will be reviewed by a school- or college-level personnel committee appointed by a process
- determined by the dean. The committee will prepare their own an independent report consistent
- with Section 9, and vote, and will forward the entire file to the dean. This step may be bypassed
- in schools or colleges whose deans choose not to convene a personnel committee. The dean will
- then prepare their own an independent report and recommendation based on their own judgment
- of the file and consistent with Section 9, and then meet with the candidate to discuss the case,
 review the recommendations made by the department committee, department or unit head, and
- the school or college-level personnel committee (if applicable), and the dean's own
- recommendation. The candidate will be provided with a copy of the dean's report that has been
- redacted in accordance with the waiver status to protect personally identifiable information. The
- candidate may provide responsive material for the file within 10 14 days of the meeting with the
- dean or the receipt of the redacted report, whichever is later. The dean will then forward the
- 393 entire file to the Office of the Provost.
- Section 25. Provost's Review of File. The Provost or designee will review the promotion and
 tenure file for completeness, and general presentation, and may request additional information
 from the dean. The file forwarded to the Provost or designee should include the contents listed in
 Appendix 3: Tenure Review File Checklist.
- Section 26. University Faculty Personnel Committee Review. After the Provost or designee has reviewed the file and deemed it complete, the file is sent to the University Faculty Personnel Committee (FPC). The committee will review the file, request additional information from the Office of the Provost, or previous levels of review, if necessary, and then discuss and record a vote to recommend that tenure and promotion is either granted or denied. The committee will prepare a written summary of its discussion, which will include the outcome of the vote.
- 406
- 407 Section 27. Provost's Decision. The Provost has plenary authority to award or deny tenure. The
 408 candidate will be notified in writing of the Provost's decision. The letter accompanying the
 409 decision will contain an explanation of the reasons underlying the Provost's decision, if the
 410 decision is to deny tenure or promotion. A tenured appointment may not be less than 0.50 FTE.
- 411 If tenure is granted, the letter will include a statement indicating the FTE of the tenured
- 412 appointment. The letter will be placed in the candidate's personnel file. The foregoing does not
- preclude a subsequent written agreement between the Provost or designee and the candidate
- adjusting the FTE of the appointment, so long as the appointment is at least 0.50 FTE.
- 415
- 416 Successful candidates are granted tenure and assume their new classification and rank at the start
- 417 of the next academic year, or sooner at the discretion of the Provost. Candidates who are denied
- tenure will receive a notice of appointment, which expires at the end of the academic or fiscal
- 419 year following the one in which the application for tenure was submitted.
- 420
- 421 Section 28. Withdrawal of Application. A bargaining unit faculty member may withdraw an
 422 application for tenure in writing to the Provost and the dean at any time before the Provost's

423 424 425 426	appoin	on. Upon withdrawal, a bargaining unit faculty member will receive a notice of atment which expires at the end of the academic or fiscal year following the one in which plication for tenure was submitted.	
427 428 429	Prom	otion to Full Professor Review	
430	Sectio	n 29. Promotion from Associate Professor to Professor. The criteria for promotion to	
431	full professor are those outlined in the bargaining unit faculty member's unit-level policy. The		
432	-	s and timelines for review and evaluation for promotion from associate professor to	
433	profes	sor are the same as those for promotion to associate professor and tenure, except:	
434	1		
435 436	1.	There is no requirement to initiate the promotion process to professor.	
437	2	Bargaining unit faculty members with tenure who are denied promotion from associate	
438		professor to professor will remain employed at the associate professor rank.	
439			
440	3.	If the review criteria have changed during the six years prior to the review, the faculty	
441		member may elect either the earlier or current set of criteria.	
442			
443	4.	The results of post-tenure reviews during the review period will be included in the	
444 445		promotion file.	
446	5	The review period for promotion reviews shall include all work accomplished since being	
447	0.	awarded tenure.	
448			
449	Post-7	Cenure Reviews	
450			
451		n 30. Tenured bargaining unit faculty members at the rank of associate professor will have	
452		-year review in the third year following promotion and every three years thereafter-until	
453	*	tion to full professor. Tenured associate professors will not be required to complete a	
454		vear review in a year when they are seeking a promotion to full professor. Following	
455	-	tion, full professors will have alternating third-year reviews and major sixth-year post-	
456		reviews. The primary function of post-tenure reviews is are to foster continued faculty	
457 458	-	sional growth and is are-not a process to reevaluate the award of tenure. If a review is not sful, then a development plan may be established (Section 38 37). The post-tenure review	
458 459		is may not be used to shift the university's burden of proof in a proceeding to terminate a	
460	-	d faculty member for cause.	
461			
462	Sectio	n 31. Third-Year Post-Tenure Reviews. Third-year post-tenure reviews will be informal	
463		vs unless a department head and dean agree, or the Office of the Provost determines, that a	
464	formal review is necessary for the faculty member to meet expectations for a subsequent review.		
465		ormal Third-Year Reviews. The informal third-year post-tenure review is conducted by	
466		propriate department or unit head with the bargaining unit faculty member. Review	
467	Informal review materials will typically consist of a curriculum vitae, a brief personal statement		
468 469	· · ·	3 pages) accounting for and explaining anything not clear from their CV, materials for the tion of teaching (where applicable), and a sabbatical report (where applicable) (Section	
403	Uvalua	aton of teaching (where application), and a saturation report (where application) (Section	

33). As a result of the review, the department or unit head will prepare a concise statement that 470 includes an evaluation of whether the faculty member is exceeding, meeting, or not meeting 471 expectations under their unit level policy (or Section 39 38, as appropriate). The department head 472 will and share their statement it with the bargaining unit faculty member, who will have 10 14 473 business days to respond in writing. The review materials, head's statement, and faculty 474 member's response are then sent to the dean and then to the Office of the Provost for approval. 475 The head's statement and any response from the bargaining unit faculty member, dean, and 476 Office of the Provost will be placed in the bargaining unit faculty member's personnel file. If in 477 the process of the informal review, the department or unit head and dean agree, or the Office of 478 the Provost determines, that a formal review is necessary to meet expectations on a subsequent 479 review, they will initiate the Formal Review process below and notify the faculty member. If a 480 formal review is initiated, the statement and response will become part of the formal review 481 dossier. b. Formal Third-Year Reviews. This process applies to associate and full professors 482 who undergo a formal third-year post tenure review. The department or unit head will convene a 483 faculty personnel committee (if one does not already exist in the department or unit) that will 484 review a faculty member's work in relation to the unit-level post-tenure review criteria, or the 485 criteria in Section 38. If unit-level policies require a vote, the tenured department faculty with the 486 same or higher rank, not including the candidate, will vote to endorse the committee's report and 487 recommendation. The department or unit head will write a separate report in light of the 488 489 materials gathered and the faculty committee's report and, if required, the faculty vote. The department or unit head will meet with the faculty member and will provide a copy of the head's 490 report and the redacted faculty committee's report. The faculty member will have 10 business 491 days from the date of the receipt of the report to provide responsive material or information, 492 which shall be included in the evaluation file. These will be reviewed by the dean and the Office 493 of the Provost. If the unit-level review criteria (or Section 39, as appropriate) were not met by the 494 faculty member and the recommendation is re is consensus between the head, dean, or and 495 Office of the Provost result of the review is to recommends to implement a development plan, 496 then the head and faculty member will develop one in consultation with the dean to be approved 497 by the Office of the Provost (Section 38 37). 498 499 Section 32. Sixth-Year Review. Only full professors will have sixth-year post-tenure reviews, 500 which will be . Tenured bargaining unit faculty members will have a review in the sixth year 501 following promotion to full professor or six years after their previous-a-sixth-year post-tenure 502 review. 503 504 505 Section 33. Sixth-Year Review Period. The review period will include all work accomplished 506 during the previous six years, taking into account any leaves and resulting clock stoppages 507 (Section 7). 508

- Section 34. Initiating the Sixth-Year Review. To initiate the review process, the department or
 unit head or designee will contact the bargaining unit faculty member during the fall term of the
 year in which the review will take place and request the following:
- Criteria: Criteria for sixth-year post-tenure reviews will be as specified in Section 39 38
 below unless the department or unit has approved post-tenure review criteria. If the
 review criteria have changed during the six years prior to the review, the faculty member
 may elect either the earlier or current set of criteria.

517 518 2. **Curriculum vitae:** A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the faculty member's current research, scholarly, and creative activities and 519 520 accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations and similar activities. This document should clearly differentiate between accomplishments that 521 522 occurred during the review period and those that did not. 523 3. **Personal statement:** A 3-6 page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit 524 faculty member explaining how their provided material relates to demonstrates meeting 525 or exceeding evaluating their performance measured against the applicable unit-level 526 criteria for post-tenure review. The personal statement should expressly address the 527 subjects of teaching; scholarship, research, and creative activity; service contributions to 528 the academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and 529 the community; and contributions to diversity, equity and inclusion. 530 531 4. **Sabbatical report:** A report of the accomplishments and benefits resulting from 532 533 sabbatical, if applicable. 534 Section 35. Department or Unit Head's Role. The department or unit head or designee will 535 obtain and place in the evaluation file copies of summary reports drawn, as appropriate, from the 536 system of teaching student evaluation of teaching evaluation that was in effect prior to Fall 2019 537 and the Student Experience Surveys that were effective as of Fall 2019. The file must also 538 539 include a recent peer evaluation of the bargaining unit faculty member's teaching. Peer teaching reviews should be aligned with the university-wide teaching standards as established by the 540 University Senate. 541 542 Once the department or unit head has obtained all of the appropriate documents and information, 543 they will establish a committee of full professors and provide the committee with access to the 544 documents and information. The faculty committee will prepare a report and a recommendation 545 regarding the outcome of the review. The report and recommendation will be reviewed by the 546 committee who will vote on the recommendation. The department or unit head or designee will 547 548 then: 549 1. Obtain a report from the faculty committee including an assessment of the bargaining 550 unit faculty member's performance, a recommendation regarding the outcome of the 551 552 review, and the results of the faculty vote; and 553 554 2. Prepare their own independent an evaluation based on their own judgment of the bargaining unit faculty member's performance that considers the entire file along with 555 previous levels of review takes into account the committee report and departmental 556 discussion consistent with Section 9: and 557 558 559 3. Provide the department or unit head's report to the bargaining unit faculty member and allow them 10 days from the date of the receipt of the report to provide responsive 560 material or information, which shall be included in the evaluation file; and 561 562 4. Submit the evaluation file to the appropriate dean. 563

564	
565	If a department or unit has or develops a policy or practice of providing the report of the faculty
566	committee to the bargaining unit faculty member, the department or unit head shall do so.
567	
568	Section 36. Dean's Role. The dean will review the file and may consult with appropriate persons
569	and may obtain and document additional relevant information. Once the dean deems the file
570	complete, they will prepare a separate report and independent recommendation. The dean will
571	share their written report and recommendation with the bargaining unit faculty member, redacted
572	as appropriate, and allow them 10 14-days from the date of receipt of the report to provide
573	responsive material and information, which shall be included in the evaluation file. The dean will
574	then submit the complete evaluation file to the Office of the Provost.
575	
576	Section 37. Provost's Role. The Provost or designee will consider the cumulative evaluations
577	received from the faculty committee, the department or unit head, and the dean.
578	
579	[We are postponing discussion on this provision until we come to an agreement regarding merit
580	review provision]
581	
582	
583	If the Provost or designee concludes that the bargaining unit faculty member's performance
584	meets or exceeds expectations in all areas of a sixth-year review, the bargaining unit faculty
585	member will receive an increase in their base salary per Article 26.
586	
587	Section 38. Development Plans. If the Provost concludes that the bargaining unit faculty
588	member's performance does not meet expectations in one or more areas, the dean and the
589	department or unit head shall consult with the bargaining unit faculty member and shall
590	recommend to the Provost a development plan for demonstrable improvement in the area(s) at
591	issue. The goal of the plan is to put the faculty member on track to meet expectations in that area
592 593	or areas at their subsequent review. The development plan should be implemented no later than the first term of the academic year following the review. Development plans may require
595 594	adjustment of professional responsibilities (e.g., reduced service or teaching in order to support
594 595	more research) and must specify a follow-up review timeline.
596	more research) and must specify a follow-up review timerine.
597	If a faculty member has a development plan, the criteria in the area(s) specified in the
598	development plan will be in effect for those areas of the subsequent review. If the faculty
599	member does not meet expectations in the specified area(s), the Provost may reduce or reassign
600	the faculty member's FTE associated with the specified area(s) or may establish a new
601	development plan or both. If the faculty member's FTE is adjusted, future reviews will reflect
602	the adjustment of duties.
603	the adjustment of dates.
604	[We are postponing discussion on this provision until we come to an agreement regarding merit
605	review provision]
606	
607	
608	A bargaining unit faculty member who has had their FTE or duties adjusted as the result of an
609	unsuccessful development plan may elect to have their standard workload restored if, on a
610	subsequent post-tenure review, they meet or exceed expectations in the areas in which the faculty

resume their standard workload the Fall following the year the post-tenure review was initiated. 613 614 615 Section 39. Post-Tenure Review Criteria. Review criteria for third- and sixth-year post-tenure review are as follows, unless a department or unit has an approved unit-level policy establishing 616 their own post-tenure review criteria through the process specified in Article 4. 617 618 619 In cases where a tenured faculty member has a workload other than the standard tenure-track workload in the department or unit (e.g., with larger teaching and smaller research FTE, or vice 620 621 versa) or is working under a development plan, the standard for meeting expectations in a thirdor sixth-year review will be established by these alternate arrangements and informed by the 622 unit-level policy and the guidelines below. [moved up from below] Each of the three areas below 623 should include contributions in teaching, research, and service that demonstrably promote 624 diversity, equity, and inclusion. 625 626 1. **Teaching:** Teaching standards as established by the University Senate. 627 628 629 2. Research, scholarship, creative, and artistic achievement: In general, research, scholarship, and creative achievement is demonstrated in the following categories. Each 630 category applies in a given case only if that category is specified in the unit promotion 631 and tenure policy. The standards of evaluation, unless otherwise specified by the unit 632 policy, will be the standards established for promotion to full professor. Some categories 633 to be considered include: 634 a. publications and/or creative activities of significance and; 635 b. externally funded research; 636 c. patents, intellectual property developed; technologies licensed, companies spun-637 off: 638 d. adoptions of research innovations by other researchers, organizations, or the 639 public; 640 e. research awards and prizes; 641 f. membership in the national academies or other selective research societies; 642 g. research in progress and substantially planned work (including grant proposals); 643 h. translational research or scholarship that influences public policy or contributes to 644 societal benefits: 645 participation in conferences, conventions, seminars, and professional meetings; i. 646 j. professional peer review, holding office in academic and professional 647 organizations, serving on committees and/or on editorial boards; 648 k. association with organizations and groups that will result in professional 649 improvement of the faculty member and bring recognition to the university; 650 1. research or professional consultation for federal agencies, foundations, or other 651 research sponsors; 652 m. recognized evidence of scholarly and professional visibility, such as special 653 awards, scholarly citations, and the republication of work; 654 n. scope and depth of scholarship as revealed in public lectures, book reviews, and, 655 in special circumstances, discussions; 656 o. works of art, such as painting, sculpture, design, planning, musical composition, 657

member had previously been determined not to have met expectations. If the faculty member meets or exceeds expectations as outlined in the unit policies, they may, if they so choose,

611

612

658	poetry, fiction, drama, dance, photography, and film disseminated or exhibited in
659	recognized venues of quality and distinction;
660	p. public performances: musical recitals, concerts, conducting, theater performance
661	and production, dance performance and production, radio or television production
662	disseminated/exhibited in recognized venues of quality and distinction;
663	q. public recognition: exhibitions, commissions, acceptance of work for permanent
664	collections, awards.
665	
666	3. Service: Consistent with promotion to full professor as specified in the collective
667	bargaining agreement, senior faculty are expected to engage in significant service
668	demonstrating leadership and commitment both within and outside the candidate's
669	department or unit. Service must include some of the following:
670	a. leadership in academic and administrative roles:
671	i. academic program area or departmental administration and curriculum;
672	ii. personnel and policy committees or activities;
673	iii. college or school administration and committees or activities;
674	iv. university or state system administration and committees or activities.
675	b. service and activities on behalf of the larger community (local, state, national, and
676	international governmental bodies, NGOs, etc.);
677	c. academic contributions to community activities, either as an individual or as a
678	representative of the university;
679	d. service to professional and disciplinary organizations;
680	e. academic service on behalf of the public interest.
681	
682	[moved up for clarity] 4. Contributions in teaching, research, and service that demonstrably
602	promote diversity equity and inclusion

683 promote diversity, equity, and inclusion.