1 2 3 4	UNITED ACADEMICS MEDIATION PROPOSAL (1/24/2025) UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (7/2/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (5/16/2024) UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (5/2/2024)
5 6 7	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (4/18/2024) UNITED ACADEMICS PROPOSAL (2/1/2024)
8	Document Key
9 LO	UA new UA deletion UO new UO deletion Accepted Deleted Status Quo Restored
l1 l2	[Package. This is a package proposal with Article 15, 19, 20, and 34.]
L3 L4	ARTICLE 15. ACADEMIC CLASSIFICATION AND RANK
15 16 17 18	Section 1. The University shall assign each bargaining unit faculty member the classification, category, and rank that most closely reflect the duties described in their appointment and job description.
L9	Section 2. Classification
20 21 22 23	The following are the classifications that apply to faculty bargaining unit positions. A classification identifies the type of position.
24 25 26 27	a. Tenure-Related Classifications: The Tenure-Related Classifications include all paid appointments in which bargaining unit faculty members are either eligible to be considered for, will become eligible to be considered for, or have received, tenure.
28 29 30	i. TENURE-TRACK AND TENURED: A paid position wherein an individual is designated by the University in writing as eligible for tenure or has been granted tenure in writing by the Provost.
32 33 34	ii. ACTING: A tenure-track paid position for individuals intended by the University to become tenure-track assistant professors but who have yet to complete the terminal degree.
35 36 37	b. Career-Related Classification: The Career-Related Classification includes all ongoing paid appointments in which bargaining unit faculty members are not eligible for tenure.
38 39 40	 CAREER: A paid position that has been granted an expectation of continued employment as defined in Article 16, Section 10.
11 12 13 14	c. Limited-Duration Classifications: The Limited-Duration Classifications include all paid appointments of limited duration in which bargaining unit faculty members are not eligible for tenure.
15 16 17	i. VISITING: A paid appointment of limited duration (up to three years) for (1) an individual who holds a like, similar, or relevant appointment at another institution

or (2) pursuant to norms of the specific discipline, an individual who has recently
obtained a terminal degree and is seeking further professional experience prior to
seeking a professorship.

ii. PRO TEMPORE: A paid appointment that is intermittent or of limited duration, except as provided in Section 6.

iii. POSTDOCTORAL SCHOLAR: A paid, mentored research position that is of limited duration (up to three years) for individuals who have earned a doctoral degree. Postdoctoral mentors may petition the Office of the Provost for an extension of no more than two years. Permission to continue a position in the Postdoctoral Scholar classification for longer than three years must be granted in writing by the Office of the Provost.

iv. POSTBACCALAUREATE SCHOLAR: A paid, mentored research position that is of limited duration (up to five years) for individuals who have earned a bachelor's or equivalent degree.

v. RETIRED: A paid appointment post-retirement that is of limited duration. A bargaining unit faculty member can be appointed to the retired classification if they retire, resign, or have been terminated without cause from employment with the university, and are:

 i. eligible for unreduced or reduced benefits under the Public Employees Retirement System (for participants in PERS) or the Oregon Public Service Retirement Program (for participants in OPSRP);

ii. eligible under Internal Revenue Service rules to withdraw funds from an account established under Optional Retirement Plan and meets the requirements for unreduced or reduced benefits under their plan.

This classification includes the post-retired or emerit faculty described in Article 1, Recognition.

Section 3. Category & Rank

The following are the categories and ranks that apply to bargaining unit positions. A category describes a rank or group of ranks. Ranks define the level of promotion within a category. [Existing language, moved up from Section 11 below.] A change in rank within a category requires a promotion.

a. PROFESSOR: This category can only be used in the Tenure-Track or Tenured, Acting, Visiting, or Retired classifications. Duties are in all three areas of independent research, scholarship, and/or creative inquiry; instruction; and service. Ranks in this category in ascending order are assistant professor, associate professor, and professor.

b. CLINICAL PROFESSOR: This category can only be used in the Visiting, Career, Pro Tem, or Retired classifications. Primary duties are in the area of clinical instruction or research. Ranks in this category in ascending order are assistant clinical professor, associate clinical professor, and clinical professor.

c. PROFESSOR OF PRACTICE: This category can only be used in the Visiting, Career, Pro Tem, or Retired classifications. Primary duties are in the area of research or instruction. This category is to be held by eminently qualified professionals who have had a major impact on fields and disciplines important to University of Oregon programs. A Professor of Practice will:

i. have a substantial basis of experience equal to a tenured professor (normally a minimum of 12 years) and a national/international reputation for excellence reflected in a record of significant accomplishments;

ii. have a profile of accumulated professional accomplishments fully congruent with the rank of professor and where such accomplishments are typically accrued in a non-academic or non-university setting;

iii. have a rich and extensive background in a field and discipline relevant to the school, college, or unit of appointment at the University of Oregon; and

iv. serve as a liaison between the professional field and the University of Oregon.

The only rank in this category is professor of practice.

d. TEACHING PROFESSOR: This category can only be used in the Career or Retired classification. This category requires an existing or previous appointment in the Career classification at the highest rank in the instructor or lecturer categories. This category can only be granted by the Office of the Provost through the review process specified in Appendix 4. Primary duties are in the area of undergraduate and/or graduate instruction. Duties may include, but are not limited to, involvement in design and development of courses and the curriculum, support for the evaluation of teaching, or additional focus on leadership projects. The Teaching Professor category and rank will remain in place for the duration of the faculty member's employment with the university. There is no promotion path to or within the Teaching Professor category and rank. The only rank in this category is teaching professor.

e. INSTRUCTOR: This category can only be used in the Visiting, Career, Pro Tem, or Retired classifications. Primary duties are in the area of undergraduate instruction. Instructor duties may include advising and mentoring responsibilities as well as possibility of involvement in design and development of courses and the curriculum. Ranks in this category in ascending order are instructor, senior instructor I, and senior instructor II.

- f. LECTURER: This category can only be used in the Visiting, Career, Pro Tem, or Retired classifications. Primary duties are in the area of graduate instruction and education. The duties may also include some undergraduate instruction and mentoring and advising responsibilities, as well as the possibility of involvement in design and development of courses and the curriculum. Appointments in the Lecturer category require the terminal degree (or its professional equivalent) relevant to the appointment, but holding a terminal degree does not by itself entitle a bargaining unit faculty member to appointment in the Lecturer category. Ranks in this category in ascending order are lecturer, senior lecturer I, and senior lecturer II.
- g. LIBRARIAN: This category can only be used in the Visiting, Career, Pro Tem, or Retired classifications. Primary duties are in the areas of applied practice in library and information sciences, and may include independent research, scholarship, and/or creative inquiry; instruction; and service. Appointments in the Librarian category require a terminal professional degree relevant to their appointment. Holding a terminal degree does not by itself entitle a bargaining unit faculty member to appointment in the Librarian category. Ranks in this category in ascending order are assistant librarian, associate librarian, and senior librarian.
- h. RESEARCH ASSISTANT: This category can only be used in the Visiting, Career, Pro Tem, or Retired classifications. Primary duties are in the area of research. Research Assistants typically work as members of a research team under the direct supervision of other faculty researchers. There are three Research Assistant category types based on the minimum degree requirement:
 - i. RESEARCH ASSISTANT (TYPE A): Positions that require skills and/or experience relevant to the duties of the position and do not have a minimum degree requirement.
 - ii. RESEARCH ASSISTANT (TYPE B): Positions that require a bachelor's or equivalent degree. In rare occasions, pPositions in specialized fields may allow for a combination of education and equivalent experience prior to the time of hire to satisfy the bachelor's degree requirement.
 - iii. RESEARCH ASSISTANT (TYPE C): Positions that require a master's or equivalent degree. In rare occasions, pPositions in highly specialized fields may allow for a combination of education and exceptional equivalent experience prior to the time of hire to satisfy the master's degree requirement.

Ranks for each research assistant type in ascending order are research assistant, senior research assistant I, and senior research assistant II.

i. RESEARCH ASSOCIATE: This category can only be used in the Visiting, Career, Pro Tem, or Retired classifications. This category requires a terminal degree relevant to the appointment. Primary duties are in the area of research, which are typically undertaken as part of a research team or lab. Appointments in the Research Associate category require a

terminal degree (or its professional equivalent) in a relevant field but holding a terminal degree does not by itself entitle a bargaining unit faculty member to appointment in the Research Associate category. Ranks in this category in ascending order are research associate, senior research associate I, and senior research associate II.

j. RESEARCH PROFESSOR: This category can only be used in the Visiting, Career, Pro Tem, or Retired classifications. This category requires a terminal degree relevant to the appointment with duties primarily in the area of independent research, scholarship and/or creative inquiry. Primary duties are independent lines of inquiry, which can be related to the work of colleagues but not dependent on it. A Research Professor will have qualifications and research expectations equal to or exceeding those for a tenure-track/tenured professor at the same rank in related fields. Ranks in this category in ascending order are assistant research professor, associate research professor, and research professor.

k. RESEARCH SCIENTIST: This category can only be used in the Visiting, Career, Pro Tem, or Retired classifications. This category requires at least a bachelor's degree in an area that is immediately relevant to the research program or research facility in which the position resides. The key differentiator between this position and the research assistant position is the technical nature of skill set required of the position. Holding a relevant degree does not by itself entitle a bargaining unit member to appointment in the research scientist category. Ranks in this category in ascending order are research scientist, senior research scientist I. and senior research scientist II.

1. RESEARCH ENGINEER: This category can only be used in the Visiting, Career, Pro Tem, or Retired classifications. This category requires a bachelor's or higher from an accredited engineering program. The key differentiator between this position and the research assistant position is the technical nature of the skill set required of the position. Holding a relevant degree does not by itself entitle a bargaining unit member to appointment in the research engineer category. Ranks in this category in ascending order are research engineer, senior research engineer I, and senior research engineer II.

m. PRINCIPAL RESEARCH SCIENTIST: This category can only be used in the Visiting, Career, Pro Tem, or Retired classifications. This category requires at least a master's degree in an area that is immediately relevant to the program or research facility in which the position resides. The principal research scientist will:

• Have substantial experience at a responsible technical or managerial level (normally at least 12 years for holders of a master's degree and at least 6 years for a holder of a Ph.D.);

• Show clear evidence of consistent performance in making original and innovative contributions to their discipline;

- Show leadership in development and management of technical projects involving other faculty and students;
- Make substantial contributions to the University through service.

The only rank in this category is principal research scientist.

n. POSTDOCTORAL SCHOLAR: This category can only be used in the Postdoctoral Scholar classification. This category requires a temporary and defined period of formally mentored research, instruction, librarianship, or scholarly training, for the purpose of allowing the Postdoctoral Scholar to acquire the professional skills needed to pursue a career path of their choosing. The appointment requires a doctoral degree. At the time of appointment, hiring documentation should include an articulated program of mentoring with an identified mentor. The only rank in this category is postdoctoral scholar.

o. POSTBACCALAUREATE SCHOLAR: This category can only be used in the Postbaccalaureate Scholar classification. This category requires a bachelor's or equivalent degree. This category requires a temporary and defined period of formally mentored research or scholarly training for the purpose of allowing the postbaccalaureate scholar to acquire the professional skills needed to pursue a career path of their choosing. The only rank in this category is postbaccalaureate scholar.

Section 4. At the time of hire, the University shall assign each bargaining unit faculty member a rank within the classification and category described in the job posting.

Nothing shall preclude a bargaining unit faculty member from being assigned and performing other duties not described in their specific classification, category, or rank as long as those duties are consistent with their job description.

Section 5. If the University lays off a bargaining unit faculty member in a position in the Career classification for economic or programmatic reasons (Article 16.12.b or c, or Article 25), then the position cannot be refilled in the Career, Visiting, Pro Tem, Retired, or Postdoctoral Scholar classifications within the subsequent two years unless approved by the Office of the Provost or unless the affected faculty member has been offered reinstatement and at least 30 days in which to accept or decline it. It is the bargaining unit faculty member's responsibility to keep the university advised of their current email address for receipt of such offers. Reinstatements will be at the former rank or equivalent and follow Article 26, Section 11. If multiple bargaining unit faculty members have been laid off for economic or programmatic reasons within the previous two years and would meet the specific qualifications for the position as affirmed by the unit head, the University will offer reinstatement consistent with the principles in Article 16, Section 13.

Section 6. Newly created Pro Tem faculty appointments will be consistent with Section 2.c.ii. above. The University may not make Pro Tem faculty appointments when an appointment. in the Career or Tenure-Related classification is warranted. Furthermore, Pro Tem positions will last no more than three years unless the Office of the Provost designates the position as an Ongoing Pro Tem position or gives a department or unit permission to extend the position for up to one year. Substantially identical Pro Tem positions will not be reopened for one calendar year after the initial three year term. The Office of the Provost may designate the position as an Ongoing Pro Tem position or give a department or unit permission to extend the position for up to one year.

When programmatic needs or enrollments justify the creation of a Career position, the department or unit may not continue to fill that curricular need with a Pro Tem position.

The Office of the Provost can designate a Pro Tem position to be an Ongoing Pro Tem position for legitimate pedagogical or programmatic reasons.

- a. Legitimate pedagogical reasons for Ongoing Pro Tem positions include:
 - i. When a department or unit believes that the student learning experience is enhanced by having new instructors cycle into the program to meet specific course needs.
 - ii. When a department or unit identifies a position that is best taught by a working or retired professional in the industry and the position is assigned no more than three courses an academic year.
- b. Legitimate programmatic reasons for an Ongoing Pro Tem position include:
 - i. When a department or unit offers recent PhD graduates a short-term position and there is an expectation that new faculty members would fill this position every one to three years.
 - ii. When a department or unit with traditionally large fluctuations in enrollment can accommodate those fluctuations by having a reasonable number of Pro Tem positions.
- c. Inadequate or limited financial resources are not legitimate pedagogical or programmatic reasons for designating a position an Ongoing Pro Tem position.

In rare cases, the Office of the Provost can give a department or unit permission to extend a Pro Tem position for one year beyond the three-year limit. In these rare cases, a faculty member may continue in the Pro Tem position for one year beyond the three-year limit.

Section 7. Recategorizations

a. **Faculty Initiated Recategorization.** Bargaining unit faculty members in the Career classification shall have the right to petition the Office of the Provost to have their position recategorized if they believe that their position was categorized incorrectly at the time of first hire or their position has evolved to more closely resemble a different category. If a petition for recategorization is denied, a bargaining unit faculty member may petition again after completion of at least one additional year of service in the position. In cases of denial, the letter accompanying the decision shall contain the reasons underlying the Provost's decision. If the Union was party to the petition submission by the bargaining unit faculty member, they shall be copied on the decision.

b. **University Initiated Recategorization.** In keeping with the principles set forth above the University may recategorize a bargaining unit faculty member in the Career or Limited Duration classification when their position has evolved to more closely resemble a different category.

c. Unless there is agreement to the contrary, a recategorization under this Section will not reduce the rank or base salary of a bargaining unit faculty member.

Section 8. Reclassifications

a. **Faculty Initiated Reclassification.** Bargaining unit faculty members in the Pro Tem, Visiting, or Postdoctoral Scholar classifications who believe that their positions should be positions in the Career classification may petition for reclassification after the completion of two years of appointment. Because the defining characteristic of the Pro Tem, Visiting, and Postdoctoral Scholar classifications is their limited duration, the decision of the Office of the Provost should be guided by the current and anticipated duration of the position, and may also consider programmatic needs, resources, and/or enrollments. If a petition for reclassification or recategorization is denied, the letter accompanying the decision shall contain the reasons underlying the Provost's decision. If the Union was party to the petition submission by the bargaining unit faculty member, they shall be copied on the decision.

b. **University Initiated Reclassification.** In keeping with the principles set forth above the University may reclassify a bargaining unit faculty member in the Career or a Limited Duration classification when their employment has evolved to more closely resemble a different classification. Reclassification of Career positions under this subsection are only allowed by mutual agreement.

c. When a position is reclassified from a Career or Limited Duration classification into the Tenure Track and Tenured classification, a new national search is required to fill the position except when an exception has been granted by the Office of the Provost. For other classifications, a national search is permissible, but not required when the original search was national in scope and . wWhen the incumbent has had consecutive successful reviews a national search will not be mandatory.

d. Unless there is agreement to the contrary, a reclassification under this Section will not reduce the rank or base salary of a bargaining unit faculty member.

e. Requests to reclassify a When programmatic needs or enrollments justify the reclassification of a Pro Tem position to a Career position under a. or b. above or the creation of a Career position, such departmental requests will not be unreasonably denied.

 Section 9. A reclassification or recategorization shall take effect on the effective date approved by the Office of the Provost. If a petition for reclassification or recategorization is denied, the letter accompanying the decision shall contain an explanation of the reasons underlying the Provost's decision.

Section 10. By September 1 of each year the University shall provide the Union with an annual report of the following for the preceding academic year:

a. Permissions to extend a position in the Pro Tem or Postdoctoral Scholar classification beyond three years;

b. Recategorizations;c. Reclassifications;

d. Denials of recategorizations and reclassifications;

e. National-search exceptions.
369
370 Section 11. A change in rank within a category requires a promotion.

Tentative Agreement (1/24/2025):

Nathan Whalen (Jan 28, 2025 09:21 PST)

Jan 28, 2025

Nathan Whalen (United Academics)

Jan 27, 2025

Chris Meade (University of Oregon)

1	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON MEDIATION PROPOSAL (1/24/2025.v2)
2	UNITED ACADEMICS MEDIATION PROPOSAL (1/24/2025)
3	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON MEDIATION PROPOSAL (1/24/2025)
4	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (11/21/2024)
5	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (11/13/2024)
6	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (10/31/2024)
7	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (10/17/2024)
8	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (09/26/2024)
9	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (8/26/2024)
10	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (8/13/2024)
11	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (7/15/2024)
12	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (5/2/2024)
13	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (4/18/2024)
14	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (4/4/2024)
15	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (2/29/2024)
16	UNITED ACADEMICS PROPOSAL (2/15/2024)
17	
18	Document Key
19	UA new UA deletion UO new UO deletion Accepted Deleted Status Quo Restored
20	
21	[Package. This is a package proposal with Article 15, 19, 20, and 34.]
22	
23	ARTICLE 19. CAREER FACULTY REVIEW AND PROMOTION
24	Promise Communication of the Communication I of Com
25	Preamble. Career appointments are either Career instructional or Career research for the
26 27	purposes of this Article.
27 28	Career instructional faculty are those with appointments in the Career classification in the
20 29	following categories: Instructor, Lecturer, Librarian, Clinical Professor (when an instructional
30	appointment), Professor of Practice, and Teaching Professor.
30 31	appointment), I folessor of Fractice, and Teaching Frotessor.
32	Career research faculty are those with appointments in the Career classification in the following
33	categories: Research Professor, Clinical Professor (when a research appointment), Research
34	Assistant, Research Associate, Research Scientist, Research Engineer, and Principal Research
35	Scientist.
36	
37	Career Faculty Review and Promotion
38	
39	Section 1. Reviews for Career faculty will include reviews associated with performance,
40	promotion, and continuous employment. A performance review will not be required in the year a
41	bargaining unit faculty member has a promotion or continuous employment review.
42	
43	Section 2. Policies and Procedures. Each department or unit that employs Career faculty will
44	maintain unit-level policies for Career faculty review and promotion in accordance with Article

Career Faculty Performance Reviews

Section 3. Performance Reviews. Performance reviews for Career faculty are for the purpose of determining if the faculty member is meeting the standard of excellence appropriate to a Career instructional or Career research faculty member at an AAU institution based on their job duties. Performance reviews should be designed to help Career faculty members grow as educators, scholars, and researchers, as appropriate; identify areas of strength; and identify areas that need improvement associated with their position. Career performance reviews should include a stageappropriate assessment of the likelihood of success in a subsequent promotion review. As part of P-performance reviews, also allow supervisors of Career faculty members with a position description should take the opportunity to consult to shall consult with as needed or at the request of collaborate those Career faculty members on keeping to keep the position description up to date as an accurate reflection of the position. Performance reviews shall consider any lack of resources necessary to the performance of professional responsibilities that were identified in previous workload discussions (Article 17, Section 3). account for any lack of support and services necessary to the performance of professional responsibilities. Bargaining unit faculty members who have not received from the University the material support and services to perform their professional responsibilities shall be held harmless for those particular professional responsibilities in performance review and promotion processes.

Section 4. Performance Review Timing.

a. Career instructional faculty will have a performance review each year for the first three years of their employment and at least once every three years thereafter (academic years for 9-month appointments and fiscal years for 12-month appointments). The three-year schedule is reset after a successful promotion or continuous employment review.

 b. Career research faculty will have a performance review annually, which will also serve as the basis for distributions if a merit pool is agreed to in Article 26. In units that utilize performance reviews as the basis for distribution of a merit pool to Career research faculty, all All performance reviews conducted during the period of evaluation for merit shall be taken into account.

c. Performance reviews may take place out of cycle when a department or unit head has identified or become aware of performance problems. The department or unit head shall meet with the Career faculty member to discuss areas of concern and evaluate whether a formal out-of-cycle performance review or performance improvement plan (Section 6.e) is warranted. Nothing in this Article changes the process for addressing poor performance for funding-contingent faculty set forth in Article 16, Section 18.

Section 5. Performance Review Period and Criteria. Reviews will consider the Career bargaining unit faculty member's performance since their last review. Career faculty members will be evaluated only by the criteria approved and made available to them. If the criteria have changed since their previous review, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of criteria.

Section 6. Performance Review Process.

a. As part of each performance review, a Career faculty member will have an opportunity to submit a brief personal statement (no more than three pages) containing information relevant to their performance of assigned duties and responsibilities.

b. The review process will include an opportunity for the Career faculty member to discuss their efforts, performance, and goals or improvement opportunities with an appropriate supervisor, department, or unit head at least once during each review period.

c. Performance reviews must include a determination whether the Career faculty member meets, exceeds or does not meet expectations in each of their assigned duties. as follows: [We are postponing discussion on this provision until we come to an agreement regarding merit review provision]

i. When the review is used in conjunction with a salary determination (i.e., merit), the performance review must include a determination whether of if the Career faculty member meets, exceeds, or does not meet expectations in each of their assigned duties.

ii. When the review is not in conjunction with a salary determination, the performance review must include a determination whether of if the Career faculty member meets, exceeds, or does not meet expectations in each of their assigned duties. If any salary increases not contemplated at the time of the performance review require a differentiation between meets and exceeds expectations, the determination will default to exceeds expectations.

d. The supervisor, department, or unit head will summarize, in writing, any committee or peer review along with their own assessment and will communicate the results of the review and provide a copy of their summary in writing to the bargaining unit faculty member. The faculty member will have 10 days from the date of the receipt of the report to provide a response, which shall be appended to the completed performance review.

e. If the determination of the performance review is that the Career faculty member does not meet expectations in one or more of their assigned duties, the supervisor, department, or unit head will meet with the faculty member to discuss a performance improvement plan, which will include written documentation of the areas for improvement, instructions to meet expectations in those areas, a timeline to carry out those instructions, and an explicit timeframe for assessing progress. The performance improvement plan will be signed by the supervisor, faculty member, and vice president, vice provost, dean, or director.

If that follow-up progress assessment, which may be a performance review, determines that the Career faculty member still does not meet expectations in one or more of the assigned duties previously identified as areas of concern, that Career faculty member may be subject to layoff (Article 16, Section 12.a.).

140 141

Career Promotion Reviews

142 143

General Career Promotion Review Considerations

144145

146

147

Section 7. Accelerated Review. An accelerated promotion review may occur in particularly meritorious cases as determined by the Office of the Provost in consultation with the appropriate vice president, vice provost, dean, department or unit head, and the bargaining unit faculty member.

148149150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

Section 8. Credit for Prior Service. Bargaining unit faculty members reclassified or hired into Career positions from Pro Tem positions shall receive an assessment of credit towards promotion equivalent to the faculty member's Pro Tem employment for work within the same category (i.e., instructional or research) of employment for work of a comparable character by the Office of the Provost, after consultation with the hiring unit head. The assessment may result in full credit, partial credit, or no credit towards promotion, depending on the proportion of comparable work. Bargaining unit faculty members in positions reclassified under Article 15, Section 8 to Career from Pro Tem will receive credit toward promotion when such. all faculty employment was at 0.5 annualized FTE or greater and provided the bargaining unit faculty member y have met expectations when reviewed. When work is not of comparable character not within the same category of employment, the bargaining unit member shall receive an assessment of credit towards promotion by the Office of the Provost. Bargaining unit faculty members at 0.5 annualized FTE or greater who have met expectations in the pro tem position at their most recent review reclassified from Pro Tem to Career positions may shall receive credit towards promotion when appropriate. to the same extent that they would have received credit had they been classified as Career faculty, for the number of years employed as Pro Tem faculty. When a bargaining unit faculty member receives credit for prior service is agreed upon, the terms of hire or reclassification will state the number of years of credit granted for comparable work, specific review considerations, and the earliest date for promotion eligibility. Bargaining unit faculty members reclassified from Pro Tem to Career positions will receive credit towards promotion for the number of years employed as Pro Tem faculty.

170171172

173

174

175

176

177

Section 9. Reapplication for Promotion. An unsuccessful candidate for promotion may continue employment at their current rank as long as eligible to do so under this Agreement. Career faculty bargaining unit members who are denied promotion may reapply for promotion after having been employed by the university for an additional three years or longer at an average of 0.5 annualized FTE per year, accrued at no greater than three terms per academic year for bargaining unit faculty on 9-month appointments, and at four terms per year for bargaining unit faculty on 12-month appointments.

178179180

Section 10. Appeal of Promotion Denial. Faculty who are denied promotion may appeal the decision through the procedures in Article 21, Tenure and Promotion Denial Appeal.

181 182 183

Section 11. Withdrawal of Application. A candidate may withdraw an application for promotion in writing to the Provost and the dean at any time before the Provost's decision.

Career Instructional Promotion Reviews

Section 12. Eligibility for Promotion. Career instructional faculty may elect to initiate the promotion process when eligible to do so. Career faculty members will be eligible for promotion after accumulating six years of employment less any credit for prior service granted (Section 8) as a faculty member at or above an average of 0.5 annualized FTE over the six years, accrued at no greater than three terms per academic year for bargaining unit faculty with 9-month appointments, and at four terms per year for bargaining unit faculty with 12-month appointments. The six years of employment do not have to be consecutive.

 a. Career instructional faculty members who will have completed five years of employment as a Career faculty member at or above 0.5 annualized FTE per year may initiate the promotion process in the Spring term of the fifth year if they have an expected appointment of 0.5 annualized FTE or greater for the sixth year.

b. Career instructional faculty members who have completed more than five years of employment as a Career faculty member at or above 0.5 annualized FTE per year may initiate the promotion process in the Spring term of any year.

c. Cases involving positions or terms of service below 0.5 FTE may be considered for promotion by the Office of the Provost in accordance with the principles set forth in this Article.

d. Career instructional faculty members who have achieved promotion must wait at least five six-years before initiating the promotion process again (for a promotion review in the subsequent year), regardless of the number of accumulated years of employment.

Section 13. Review Period and Election of Criteria. The promotion review period for a Career instructional bargaining unit faculty member will be the time in their current classification and rank, with emphasis on the six most recent years.

The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed for promotion relative to the criteria in effect when the review period began. If the criteria have changed since their hire or previous review, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of criteria.

Section 14. Initiating the Promotion Process. Career instructional faculty wishing to be considered for promotion should notify the appropriate department or unit head in the Spring term prior to the year when promotion is sought, and must provide the following materials by Fall of the review year:

• Curriculum vitae: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the bargaining unit faculty member's current teaching, professional development, research, scholarly, and creative activities and accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations, and similar activities and accomplishments as applicable.

- **Personal statement:** A 2–6-page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit faculty member evaluating their performance measured against the applicable criteria for promotion. The personal statement should expressly address the subjects of teaching; scholarship, research and creative activity, as applicable; and service contributions to the academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community. The statement should also include discussion of contributions to diversity, equity, and inclusion.
- Teaching portfolio (if applicable): Representative examples of course syllabi or equivalent descriptions of course content and instructional expectations for courses taught by the bargaining unit faculty member, examples of student work and exams, and similar material; information from student experience surveys, which will be considered in light of the response rate; information on the development of new courses and curriculum development; information on contributions to university-wide teaching practices (if applicable).
- Scholarship portfolio (if applicable): A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, research, and creative activity; and appropriate evidence of national or international recognition or impact.
- **Service portfolio (if applicable):** Evidence of the bargaining unit faculty member's service contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community, such as op ed pieces, white papers authored or co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, or letters of appreciation. The service portfolio may also include a short narrative elaborating on the faculty member's unique service experiences or obligations.
- **Professional development statement (if applicable):** A statement that provides a short narrative elaborating on the professional development activities of the bargaining unit faculty member related to their job duties.
- **Professional activities portfolio (if applicable):** A comprehensive portfolio of professional or consulting activities related to their discipline.
- Internal and/or external reviewers (if applicable): A list of qualified internal and/or external reviewers provided by the bargaining unit faculty member.
- **Section 15.** Waiver of Access to Materials. Bargaining unit faculty members may choose to waive in advance in writing their access to see any or all of the evaluative materials. Such waivers, however, shall not preclude the use of redacted versions of these documents in a denial review process. The redacted versions are intended to protect the identity of the reviewer. If redactions are insufficient to do so, the University may prepare a suitable summary. A waiver will be included in the promotion file.
- **Section 16. Notice of Meetings.** A bargaining unit faculty member will receive at least three business days' notice of any meeting or hearing which the member is invited or required to

attend, with a dean, vice provost, or the Office of the Provost regarding recommendations or decisions on promotion. The bargaining unit faculty member may have a colleague or Union representative present at the meeting as an observer.

Section 17. Evaluation file. The promotion review file should generally include the following information:

- Statement of duties and responsibilities
- Curriculum vitae
- Conditions of appointment
- Criteria for promotion
- Personal statement
- Supervisors' letters of evaluation
- Professional activities portfolio (if applicable)
- Teaching portfolio (if applicable)
- Scholarship portfolio (if applicable)
- Service portfolio (if applicable)
- Professional development statement (if applicable)
- Internal and/or external reviews (if applicable)
- Department or unit committee recommendation
- Department, unit, center, or institute head's recommendation (if applicable)
- Vice president's, dean's, or director's recommendation
- Waiver of access to materials (if applicable)

Section 18. Review by Department or Unit. The department or unit head or designee should solicit any internal and/or external reviews, as applicable. A department or unit committee will review the file and make a recommendation to the department or unit head. The department or unit head will then prepare an explanation of the merits of the promotion case and a recommendation on the case. The report will include the department or unit-level promotion committee report and recommendation and a voting summary, and the department or unit head's own independent recommendation. The file will then be sent to the appropriate vice president, vice provost, dean, or director for review.

Section 19. Review by Vice President, Vice Provost, Dean, or Director. The vice president, vice provost, dean, or director, as appropriate, will review the file, and may consult with appropriate persons and may ask for and document additional non-confidential information. Once the vice president, vice provost, Provost, dean, or director deems the file complete, they will prepare a separate memorandum and recommendation.

The vice president, vice provost, Provost, dean, or director will share their memorandum and recommendation with the candidate and notify the candidate that they may provide responsive material for the file within 10 days from the date of receipt of the memorandum; this response shall be included in the evaluation file. If the assessment of the dean or vice provost above differs from that of the department or unit head or the school- or college-level personnel committee, they will provide an explanation of the reasons underlying their judgment. The vice president, vice provost, dean, or director then will submit the complete evaluation file to the

324	Office	of the	Provost
-----	--------	--------	----------------

Section 20. Review by the Office of the Provost. By June 1, the Office of the Provost will review the file and decide whether to grant or deny promotion. The candidate will be notified of the decision in writing. If the Office of the Provost decides the review is unsuccessful, the decision letter will contain an explanation of the reasons underlying their decision and any determinations that differ from the previous review levels. In the case of a decision to deny promotion that is contrary to a recommendation of a vice president, vice provost, dean, or director, the decision letter of the Office of the Provost shall include a detailed explanation of reasons for rejecting the recommendation, which shall identify any assessments of merit and representations of fact contained in the recommendation with which the Office of the Provost disagrees.

Section 21. Assumption of New Rank. Successful candidates for promotion will assume their new rank beginning with the next academic or fiscal year or the nearest next term of employment should their appointment not begin with fall term.

Career Research Promotion Reviews

Section 22. Eligibility for Promotion. Career research faculty may elect to initiate the promotion process when eligible to do so. Career faculty members will be eligible for promotion after accumulating six years of employment as a Career faculty member less any credit for prior service granted (Section 8) at or above an average of 0.5 annualized FTE over six years. The six years of employment do not have to be consecutive.

Career research faculty members who have achieved promotion must wait at least five six-years before initiating the promotion process again (for a promotion review in the subsequent year), regardless of the number of accumulated years of employment.

Section 23. Review Period and Election of Criteria. The promotion review period for a Career research bargaining unit faculty member will be the time in their current classification and rank.

The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed for promotion relative to the criteria in effect when the review period began. If the criteria have changed since their hire or previous review, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of criteria.

Section 24. Initiating the Promotion Process for Career Research Faculty. Candidates wishing to be considered for promotion should notify the appropriate department or unit head in the Spring term prior to the year when promotion is sought, and must provide the following materials by Fall of the review year:

• Curriculum vitae or resume: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae or resume that includes the bargaining unit faculty member's current research, scholarly, and creative activities and accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations, and similar activities and accomplishments, as applicable.

370	
-----	--

- 371 372 373
- 374 375
- 376 377
- 378 379 380
- 381 382 383
- 384 385
- 386 387
- 388 389
- 390 391
- 392 393
- 394 395
- 397 398 399

396

400 401

- 403 404 405
- 406 407 408
- 409 410
- 411
- 412
- 413
- 414
- 415

- **Personal statement:** A 2-6-page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit faculty member evaluating their performance measured against the applicable criteria for promotion. The personal statement should expressly address their impact and contribution to research excellence relative to their job duties. This statement should also include discussion of contributions to diversity, equity, and inclusion.
- Scholarship portfolio (if applicable): A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, research, and creative activity; and appropriate evidence of national or international recognition or impact.
- **Service portfolio (if applicable):** Evidence of the bargaining unit faculty member's service contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community, such as op ed pieces, white papers authored or co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, or letters of appreciation. The portfolio may also include a short narrative elaborating on the faculty member's unique service experiences or obligations.
- **Professional activities portfolio (if applicable):** A comprehensive portfolio of professional or consulting activities related to their discipline.
- **List of reviewers (if applicable):** A list of qualified internal and/or external reviewers provided by the bargaining unit faculty member. Normally, external reviews are not expected for those in the research assistant ranks.
- Section 25. Waiver of Access to Materials. Bargaining unit faculty members may choose to waive in advance in writing their access to see any or all of the evaluative materials. Such waivers, however, shall not preclude the use of redacted versions of these documents in a denial review process. The redacted versions are intended to protect the identity of the reviewer. If redactions are insufficient to do so, the University may prepare a suitable summary. A waiver will be included in the promotion file.
- Section 26. Notice of Meetings. A bargaining unit faculty member will receive at least three business days' notice of any meeting or hearing which the member is invited or required to attend, with a dean, vice provost, or the Office of the Provost regarding recommendations or decisions on promotion. The bargaining unit faculty member may have a colleague or Union representative present at the meeting as an observer.
- Section 27. Evaluation file. The promotion review file should generally include the following information:
 - Statement of duties and responsibilities
 - Curriculum vitae
 - Conditions of appointment
 - Criteria for promotion
 - Personal statement

- Supervisors' letters of evaluation
 - Professional activities portfolio (if applicable)
- Scholarship portfolio (if applicable)
 - Service portfolio (if applicable)
 - Internal and/or external reviews (if applicable)
 - Department, unit, center, or institute head's recommendation
 - Vice president's, dean's, or director's recommendation
 - Waiver of access to materials (if applicable)

Section 28. Review by Department Head or Unit Director or Manager. The department or unit head or designee should solicit any internal and/or external reviews, as applicable. The department or unit head will then review the file, including any internal or external reviews, and prepare a recommendation and an explanation of the merits of the promotion case. The file will then be sent to the appropriate vice president, vice provost, or dean for review. In the event that the unit head is the faculty member's supervisor/director/manager, the supervisor letter of evaluation and the unit head review may be combined into a single recommendation.

Section 29. Review by Vice President, Vice Provost, Dean, or Director. The vice president or dean, as appropriate, will review the file, and may consult with appropriate persons and may ask for and document additional non-confidential information. Once the vice president or dean deems the file complete, they will prepare a separate memorandum with a recommendation.

If the vice president, vice provost, dean, or director's assessment differs from that of the department or unit committee or the department or unit head, the memorandum and recommendation will provide an explanation of the reasons underlying their judgment.

The vice president, vice provost, dean, or director will share their memorandum and recommendation with the candidate and notify the candidate that they may provide responsive material for the file within 10 days from the date of receipt of the memorandum. This response shall be included in the evaluation file. The vice president, vice provost, dean, or director then will submit the complete evaluation file to the Office of the Provost.

Section 30. Review by the Office of the Provost. By June 1, the Office of the Provost will review the file, with appropriate input, and decide whether to grant or deny promotion. The candidate will be notified of the decision in writing. If the Provost decides the review is unsuccessful, the decision letter will contain an explanation of the reasons underlying their decision and any determinations that differ from the previous review levels. In the case of a decision to deny promotion that is contrary to a recommendation of a vice president, vice provost, dean, or director, the decision letter of the Office of the Provost shall include a detailed explanation of reasons for rejecting the recommendation, which shall identify any assessments of merit and representations of fact contained in the recommendation with which the Office of the Provost disagrees.

Section 31. Assumption of New Rank. Successful candidates for promotion will assume their new rank beginning with the fiscal year following notification of their promotion, or other date as approved, by the Office of the Provost, whichever comes first.

162 163	
164 165	Career Continuous Employment Reviews
166 167	Section 32. Purpose. The primary function of Career Continuous Employment Reviews is to foster continued professional growth and reward excellence. Career Continuous Employment
168	Reviews are optional.
169 170 171 172 173 174 175	 Section 33. Eligibility. To be eligible for a Career Continuous Employment Review, a bargaining member must satisfy the following: a. Must have a Career appointment in a single-rank category or at the highest rank in their category; and, b. Must have an annualized 0.5 FTE or greater; and, c. Must have accumulated six years of service at 0.5 FTE or greater, as measured from their appointment in the single-rank category, since achieving promotion to the highest rank in
177 178	their category, or since their most recent Career Continuous Employment Review.
179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188	Section 34. Process. To initiate the Career Continuous Employment Review, the eligible bargaining unit faculty member must notify their department or unit head consistent with Section 14 or 24, as appropriate. Continuous employment reviews for Career faculty will generally mirror the scope and process for Career instructional or Career research promotion reviews to the highest rank. If the final 'Review by the Office of the Provost' (Section 20 or 30 equivalent) in a Continuous Employment Review determines that the bargaining unit faculty member's performance in all categories meets or exceeds expectations, the bargaining unit faculty member will receive an increase to their base salary per Article 26. Senior Instructor IIs and Senior Lecturer IIs that exceed expectations in all categories on a Career Continuous Employment Review may be nominated by their dean to be considered by the Provost for the Distinguished Teaching Professor award under Appendix 4.
	Tentative Agreement (1/24/2025):
	Nathan Whalen (Jan 28, 2025 09:21 PST) Jan 28, 2025
	Nathan Whalen (United Academics)

Chris Meade (University of Oregon)

Jan 27, 2025

1	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON MEDIATION PROPOSAL (1/24/2025)
2	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (12/5/2024)
3	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (11/21/2024)
4	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (11/13/2024)
5	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (10/17/2024)
6	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (9/26/2024)
7	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (8/13/2024)
8	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (7/15/24)
9	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON PROPOSAL (4/18/2024)
10	
11	Document Key
12	UA new UA deletion UO new UO deletion Accepted Deleted Status Quo Restored
13	
14	[Package. This is a package proposal with Article 15, 19, 20, and 34.]
15	
16	[UO Trade: 10 vs. 14 days for a faculty member to provide a review response. UO can agree to
17	go from 10 days to 14 days in Section 15, 16, 24, 31, 35, & 36 in exchange for moving the
18	decision due date to June 15 from June 1 in Section 17 & 21. Current proposal reflects 10 days
19	and maintains June 1.]
20	
21	United Academics modification of trade: We agree to an extension to 14 days in Section 15,
22	16, 24, 31, 35 & 36 and moving the decision due date to June 5 in Section 17 & 21.)
23	
24	
25	ARTICLE 20. TENURE REVIEW AND PROMOTION
26	
27	Section 1. This Article applies only to bargaining unit faculty members in the Tenure-Track and
28	Tenured classification. Tenure is in the University, and not in a college, school, department,
29	program, or discipline. The award of tenure requires an express grant by the Provost
30	communicated in writing to the bargaining unit faculty member and signed by the Provost. There
31	is no de facto tenure. Tenure means that the bargaining unit faculty member's employment may
32	be terminated only for cause (Article 24), or in case of program eliminations or reductions
33	(Article 25).
34	
35	Section 2. Standards and Guidelines. The University follows the same general timetable,
36	process, and standards of performance for evaluation and promotion as do many other public
37	research universities, particularly AAU institutions. The University also considers AAUP
38	guidelines for tenure review and promotion. All department or unit review guidelines shall be
39	established and revised by the processes set out in Article 4.
40	
41	D
42 42	Reviews
43 44	Section 3. Reviews for bargaining unit faculty members in the Tenure-Track and Tenured
44 45	classification will consist of (1) annual reviews for faculty not holding tenure; (2) mid-term
45 46	reviews between appointment and tenure review for the faculty without tenure; (3) tenure and
÷υ	reviews between appointment and tenure review for the faculty without tenure, (3) tenure and

- promotion reviews; (4) third-year post-tenure reviews for tenured faculty in the third year following: [inserted list formatting for clarity]
 - a. a tenure and/or promotion decision, or
 - b. a previous third-year review for associate professors (if a promotion to full professor review is not taking place in the same year); or
 - c. following a sixth-year post-tenure review for full professors;
 - (5) promotion-to-full-professor review for tenured faculty in their sixth year or later after receiving tenure; and (6) sixth-year post-tenure reviews for full professors tenured faculty in their sixth year following a tenure and/or promotion to full decision or following a previous sixth-year review.

General Review Provisions

 Section 4. Accelerated Early Review. An accelerated early tenure review may occur in particularly meritorious cases as determined by the Office of the Provost in consultation with the appropriate dean, department, or unit head, and the bargaining unit faculty member.

Section 5. Notice of Meetings. A bargaining unit faculty member will receive at least three days' notice of any meeting or hearing, which the member is invited or required to attend with a dean or the Provost or designee regarding recommendations or decisions on promotion or tenure. The bargaining unit faculty member may have a colleague or Union representative present at the meeting as an observer.

Section 6. Waiver of Access to Materials. Bargaining unit members have the right whether to waive in advance in writing their access to see any or all of the evaluative materials (see Article 8, Personnel Files). The choice by the bargaining unit faculty member to waive or not waive access to evaluative materials shall not be considered during the evaluation process. Such waivers, however, shall not preclude the use of redacted versions of these documents in an appeal process (Article 21). The redacted versions are intended to protect the identity of reviewers, who are informed about the faculty member's waiver choice.

Section 7. Stopping of the "Tenure, Promotion, and Review Clock." The "tenure, promotion, and review clock" shall be stopped for one year in the following circumstances, unless the bargaining unit faculty member specifies otherwise:

a. for one year-upon the birth or adoption of a child;

b. due to a leave of absence or intermittent leave with a duration of twelve weeks or longer as a result of an ADA or FMLA qualifying event. No more than two extensions may be granted under this subsection between any clocked review (i.e., between successive PTRs).

The review clock may also be stopped in other extraordinary circumstances, including up to two years for approved leaves of absence without pay lasting two or more terms during each year of the approved leave, as approved by the Office of the Provost.

If the faculty member opts to restore the period when the clock was stopped, they may apply for review at the time they would have become eligible without the stopping of the clock. Leaves not

resulting in a clock stoppage will be considered as a part of review periods. This Section applies to mid-term, tenure, and promotion reviews, as well as third-year and sixth-year post-tenure reviews. No more than two one-year clock extensions may be granted between reviews for reasons a. and b. above (i.e., up to two one-year clock extensions may be granted between successive third-year reviews, with the second third-year review taking place in the fifth year).

Section 8. Relevant Information. Only significant information relevant to the review shall be included in a review file. Relevant information is information that relates to the review criteria as defined in this Agreement. Relevant information may include disciplinary action taken against the bargaining unit faculty member, if the underlying acts relate to or affect the faculty member's ability to meet the review criteria. Information not relevant to the review or information that contains allegations that have not been fully reviewed by the appropriate office (research misconduct, office of investigations and civil rights compliance, employee and labor relations, etc.) shall not be included in the file initially, although allegations that relate to relevant information may be included if they are sustained after an appropriate review.

[deletion moved to next section] Reviews shall consider any lack of resources necessary to the performance of professional responsibilities that were identified in previous workload discussions.

Section 9. Review Evaluations and Considerations Recommendations. Reviews should provide justifications for their conclusion based on the file, previous levels of review, and the unit-level policy. Reviews shall consider any lack of resources necessary to the performance of professional responsibilities that were identified in previous workload discussions (Article 17, Section 3). If, at any level of review, the evaluation or recommendation regarding the faculty member's progress toward tenure and promotion differs materially from any previous level of review, such evaluation must clearly justify each material difference and cite specific evidence from the file to support the differing evaluation.

Section 10. Request for Clarification. If, within periods to provide responsive material or information for the evaluation file, the bargaining unit faculty member makes a good faith request for clarification or additional detail regarding the reasoning or evidentiary basis for the differing assessment of the faculty member's file, the evaluator will make a good faith effort to provide such additional detail or clarification within 14 days from the date of receiving such request. The bargaining unit faculty member will then have an additional 14 days from receipt of the response to provide supplemental responsive material or information for the evaluation file.

Pre-Tenure Reviews

Section 10. Annual Pre-Tenure Reviews. Each tenure-track bargaining unit faculty member who has not received tenure and is not in the process of a tenure review will have an annual review conducted by the department or unit head or designee. These annual reviews provide an opportunity to evaluate the tenure-track bargaining unit faculty member's performance and offer an opportunity to address problems and to support faculty members in their progress toward the mid-term and tenure reviews.

Mid-Term Reviews

Section 11. **Purpose, Outcomes and Appeals**. Mid-term reviews shall be an assessment of the bargaining unit faculty member's progress toward tenure and should assist the faculty member's development. The outcome of a mid-term review shall be either: [inserted list formatting for clarity]

a. (1)-a contract until the end of the faculty member's tenure and promotion review year, which allows for the possibility of identifying any concerns that should be addressed prior to consideration for promotion and tenure, as well as providing constructive feedback and appropriate support identified in the review; or, ; (2) a one- or two-year contract specifying an additional mid-term review; or (3)

b. a one-year, terminal contract in rare cases where the review determines the faculty member will be unable to meet the unit-level criteria for tenure and promotion by the time that the tenure decision would otherwise be expected is made and when there is consensus across all levels of review. Only a review decision resulting in a terminal contract may be appealed through the process specified in Article 21. If a two-year contract is issued under (2) and the subsequent mid-term review is not successful, the bargaining unit faculty member's employment will end with the expiration of the contract.

Section 12. Timing. Each tenure-track bargaining unit faculty member who has not received tenure will have a mid-term review approximately halfway between appointment and eligibility for tenure, except those appointed with a tenure review date three years or less from the time of their initial appointment (Article 16, Section 6). The timing of this review generally will be established at the time of appointment, in that this review will usually take place during the last year of the bargaining unit faculty member's initial contract. A successful review is one prerequisite for contract renewal.

Section 13. Mid-Term Review Period. The review will include all research, teaching, and service accomplished since the beginning of the faculty member's employment in the current position in addition to other materials specified by the faculty member's hiring agreement (Article 16). Leaves are considered consistent with Section 7.

Section 14. Initiating the Mid-Term Review. To initiate the mid-term review process, the department or unit head or designee will contact the bargaining unit faculty member during the fall term of the year in which the review will take place and request the following:

1. **Election of Criteria:** The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed relative to the criteria in effect when their employment began. If the criteria have changed since the beginning of employment, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of criteria.

 2. **Curriculum vitae:** A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the faculty member's current research, scholarly and creative activities and accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations, and similar activities.

3. **Scholarship portfolio:** A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, research, and creative activity during the review period; and appropriate evidence of national or international recognition or impact.

- 4. **Personal statement:** A 3–6-page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit faculty member explaining how their provided material relates to demonstrates they are on track to meet meeting evaluating their performance measured against the applicable unit-level criteria for tenure and promotion. The personal statement should expressly address the subjects of teaching; scholarship, research, and creative activity; service contributions to the academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community; and contributions to diversity, equity, and inclusion.
- 5. **Teaching portfolio:** Representative examples of course syllabi or equivalent descriptions of course content and instructional expectations for courses taught by the bargaining unit faculty member, examples of class assignments and exams, information from student experience surveys, which will be considered in light of the response rate, and similar material.
- 6. **Service portfolio:** As applicable available, evidence of the bargaining unit faculty member's service contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community. Such evidence could include white papers authored or co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, op-ed pieces, and/or letters of appreciation. The portfolio may also include a short statement on the faculty member's unique service experiences or obligations.

Section 15. Department or Unit Head's Role. The department or unit head will obtain and place in the evaluation file copies of summary reports from the teaching student evaluation process, including Student Experience Surveys. The file must also include recent peer evaluations of the bargaining unit faculty member's teaching that is aligned with the university-wide teaching standards established by the University Senate.

Once the department or unit head has obtained all of the appropriate documents and information, they will establish a committee of tenured faculty and provide the committee with access to the documents and information. The department or unit head will then:

- 1. Obtain a report from the faculty committee including an assessment of the bargaining unit faculty member's progress toward tenure and promotion; and
- 2. Prepare their own independent evaluation of the bargaining unit member's progress toward tenure and promotion that considers the entire file along with previous levels of review, takes into account the committee report and departmental discussion, and is consistent with Section 9; and
- 3. Provide the department or unit head's written report to the bargaining unit faculty member and allow the faculty member 14 10 days from the date of the receipt of the

report to provide responsive material or information, which shall be included in the evaluation file; and

4. Submit the evaluation file to the appropriate dean.

If a department or unit has or develops a policy or practice of providing the report of the faculty committee to the bargaining unit faculty member, the department or unit head shall do so.

Section 16. Dean's Role. The dean will review the file and may consult with appropriate persons and may obtain and document additional relevant information. Once the dean deems the file complete, they will prepare a separate report and recommendation. The dean will share their written report and recommendation with the bargaining unit faculty member and allow the faculty member 14 10 days from the date of receipt of the report to provide responsive material or information, which shall be included in the evaluation file. The dean then will submit a summary report including dean's recommendation, department head's recommendation, faculty committee report, and faculty member's curriculum vitae, statement, and responsive material or information to the Provost or designee.

Section 17. Provost's Role. The Provost or designee will consider the cumulative recommendations received from department faculty, the department or unit head, and the dean, and then will decide the terms and duration of any subsequent appointment of the bargaining unit faculty member. Upon Provost review, the summary report will be placed in the faculty member's departmental or college personnel file and a decision conveyed in writing to the faculty member no later than June 5 \(\frac{1}{4}\).

Tenure Review Process

Section 18. Eligibility for tenure review. Except as authorized in writing by the Provost or designee, a bargaining unit faculty member is entitled to a decision on tenure only after six consecutive academic or fiscal years of employment at or above the FTE at which they were hired. 1.0 FTE per year or the equivalent of consecutive part time employment at or above 0.5 FTE per year. An appointment is considered consecutive even if interrupted by one or more approved leaves of absence. Leaves are considered consistent with Section 7.

Section 19. Tenure Review period. The tenure review will include all research, teaching, and service accomplished since the beginning of the faculty member's employment in the current position in addition to other materials specified by the faculty member's hiring agreement (Article 16). Leaves of absence not resulting in a clock stoppage will be considered as part of the review period.

Section 20. Initiating the Tenure Review Process. To initiate the tenure review process, the department or unit head will contact the bargaining unit faculty member no later than winter term of the year preceding the year in which a tenure decision is required and request the following:

1. **Election of Criteria:** The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed relative to the criteria in effect during their last mid-term review. If the criteria have changed since the

last mid-term review, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of criteria.

2. **Curriculum vitae:** A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the faculty member's current research, scholarly, and creative activities and accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations, and similar activities and accomplishments. This document should clearly differentiate between accomplishments that occurred during the review period and those that did not.

3. **Scholarship portfolio:** A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, research and creative activity during the review period; and appropriate evidence of national or international recognition or impact.

4. **Personal statement:** A 3-6 page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit faculty member explaining how their provided material relates to demonstrates meeting evaluating their performance measured against the applicable unit-level criteria for tenure and promotion. The personal statement should expressly address the subjects of teaching; scholarship, research, and creative activity; service contributions to the academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community; and contributions to diversity, equity, and inclusion.

5. **Teaching portfolio:** Representative examples of course syllabi or equivalent descriptions of course content and instructional expectations for courses taught by the bargaining unit faculty member, examples of class assignments and exams, information from student experience surveys, which will be considered in light of the response rate, and similar material.

6. **Service portfolio:** As available, evidence of the bargaining unit faculty member's service contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community. Such evidence could include white papers authored or co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, op-ed pieces, and/or letters of appreciation. The portfolio may also include a short narrative elaborating on the faculty member's unique service experiences or obligations.

7. **External reviewers:** At the option of the bargaining unit faculty member, A a list of qualified outside reviewers provided by the bargaining unit faculty member.

All material in this Section, along with the following items, will be included in the Tenure Review File:

8. **Additional Information**. Faculty members and/or the University may submit relevant information during a review from the date information is initially submitted to their department head through the date the Provost issues the final decision. Late submissions of information may result in additional questions to the faculty member or to reviewers at the previous levels. Additional information may include work completed during the review year, if such information or material is included, it may not be included in the review period of subsequent reviews. If detrimental information is added to their file, the

bargaining unit faculty member will be notified and may add a response or request the file go back to their department or unit faculty personnel committee for review, which may result in a decision delay. The additional faculty personnel committee report must be submitted along with the new information for inclusion in the Tenure Review File.

9. **Mid-Term Review(s)**. The dean's summary report and the Provost's final decision from any mid-term reviews conducted will be included.

Section 21. Schedule for Review of Tenure and Promotion Files. The Office of the Provost will establish a schedule for the compilation and review of tenure and promotion files. If the bargaining unit faculty member fails to comply with the timeline established by the Provost for submission of materials, the department or unit head will notify the faculty member of the missed deadline by university email and the primary phone on record in the Banner system. If the faculty member does not respond within 14 days, tenure may be denied. If the faculty member responds within 14 days, the department or unit head will establish a new deadline for submission of all materials.

The new deadline must allow the University adequate time to complete the tenure review process by June 5 1. If the faculty member misses the new deadline, tenure will be denied.

 Section 22. External reviews. The department or unit head will prepare a list of qualified external reviewers, with input from the department or unit faculty eligible to vote on a tenure and promotion case. The department or unit head will select a majority of the external reviewers from this independently prepared list, but the department or unit head's primary responsibility is to obtain the best judgments from the most highly qualified experts in the appropriate areas. Most, if not all, of the external reviewers should be at the rank for which the candidate is being considered or above (i.e., associate professor or professor for tenure and promotion to associate professor; professor for promotion to professor). Reviewers generally should come from comparable AAU and research intensive institutions or programs. The suggestions regarding affiliations apply to the majority of external reviewers and are not strict prohibitions. A minimum of five substantive external evaluations is required for a tenure case to move forward.

 The department or unit head will recruit external reviewers from the list prepared by the department or unit head and the separate list provided by the bargaining unit faculty member. A absolute-majority of external reviews included in the file must be provided by reviewers selected by the department or unit and not included on the list of reviewers provided by the faculty member under review. If there is overlap between the independently prepared lists, the external reviewer counts as a unit selection. The department or unit head will provide each external reviewer with the candidate's signed and dated curriculum vitae, signed and dated personal statement, the candidate's scholarship portfolio, and the department's or unit's written criteria for promotion and tenure. External reviewers may not be asked to evaluate the candidate against the standards of their own institution.

Section 23. Faculty Review. The eligible faculty in the candidate's department or unit, or a personnel committee comprised of a subset of the eligible faculty (if the department's or unit's internal policy specifies the creation of such a committee), will review the file and the external reviews, prepare a report, and vote. In cases where there are too few eligible faculty members to

form a personnel committee within the candidate's department or unit, the department or unit head will work with the appropriate dean to establish a committee including appropriate faculty members from outside the department. A final vote will be conducted by signed ballot, which may happen electronically, and the ballots will remain confidential to the extent permitted by law. The department or unit head will inform the faculty member whether the vote was positive or not. A de-identified vote tally, however, will be provided to the faculty member by the department or unit head in the event of an appeal.

Section 24. Review by Department or Unit Head, College or School Personnel Committee, and Dean. The department or unit head will prepare their own an independent report and recommendation that considers the entire file along with previous levels of review takes into account the committee report and departmental discussion consistent with Section 9 based on their own judgment of the file, and then forward the entire file to the appropriate dean. The file then will be reviewed by a school- or college-level personnel committee appointed by a process determined by the dean. The committee will prepare their own an independent report consistent with Section 9, and vote, and will forward the entire file to the dean. This step may be bypassed in schools or colleges whose deans choose not to convene a personnel committee. The dean will then prepare their own an independent report and recommendation based on their own judgment of the file and consistent with Section 9, and then meet with the candidate to discuss the case, review the recommendations made by the department committee, department or unit head, and the school or college-level personnel committee (if applicable), and the dean's own recommendation. The candidate will be provided with a copy of the dean's report that has been redacted in accordance with the waiver status to protect personally identifiable information. The candidate may provide responsive material for the file within 14 10 days of the meeting with the dean or the receipt of the redacted report, whichever is later. The dean will then forward the entire file to the Office of the Provost.

Section 25. Provost's Review of File. The Provost or designee will review the promotion and tenure file for completeness, and general presentation, and may request additional information from the dean. The file forwarded to the Provost or designee should include the contents listed in Appendix 3: Tenure Review File Checklist.

Section 26. University Faculty Personnel Committee Review. After the Provost or designee has reviewed the file and deemed it complete, the file is sent to the University Faculty Personnel Committee (FPC). The committee will review the file, request additional information from the Office of the Provost, or previous levels of review, if necessary, and then discuss and record a vote to recommend that tenure and promotion is either granted or denied. The committee will prepare a written summary of its discussion, which will include the outcome of the vote.

Section 27. Provost's Decision. The Provost has plenary authority to award or deny tenure. The candidate will be notified in writing of the Provost's decision. The letter accompanying the decision will contain an explanation of the reasons underlying the Provost's decision, if the decision is to deny tenure or promotion. A tenured appointment may not be less than 0.50 FTE. If tenure is granted, the letter will include a statement indicating the FTE of the tenured appointment. The letter will be placed in the candidate's personnel file. The foregoing does not preclude a subsequent written agreement between the Provost or designee and the candidate adjusting the FTE of the appointment, so long as the appointment is at least 0.50 FTE.

 Successful candidates are granted tenure and assume their new classification and rank at the start of the next academic year, or sooner at the discretion of the Provost. Candidates who are denied tenure will receive a notice of appointment, which expires at the end of the academic or fiscal year following the one in which the application for tenure was submitted.

Section 28. Withdrawal of Application. A bargaining unit faculty member may withdraw an application for tenure in writing to the Provost and the dean at any time before the Provost's decision. Upon withdrawal, a bargaining unit faculty member will receive a notice of appointment which expires at the end of the academic or fiscal year following the one in which the application for tenure was submitted.

Promotion to Full Professor Review

Section 29. Promotion from Associate Professor to Professor. The criteria for promotion to full professor are those outlined in the bargaining unit faculty member's unit-level policy. The process and timelines for review and evaluation for promotion from associate professor to professor are the same as those for promotion to associate professor and tenure, except:

1. There is no requirement to initiate the promotion process to professor.

2. Bargaining unit faculty members with tenure who are denied promotion from associate professor to professor will remain employed at the associate professor rank.

3. If the review criteria have changed during the six years prior to the review, the faculty member may elect either the earlier or current set of criteria.

4. The results of post-tenure reviews during the review period will be included in the promotion file.

5. The review period for promotion reviews shall include all work accomplished since being awarded tenure.

Post-Tenure Reviews

Section 30. Tenured bargaining unit faculty members at the rank of associate professor will have a third-year review in the third year following promotion and every three years thereafter—until promotion to full professor. Tenured associate professors will not be required to complete a third-year review in a year when they are seeking a promotion to full professor. Following promotion, full professors will have alternating third-year reviews and major sixth-year post-tenure reviews. The primary function of post-tenure reviews is are to foster continued faculty professional growth and is are not a process to reevaluate the award of tenure. If a review is not successful, then a development plan may be established (Section 38 37). The post-tenure review process may not be used to shift the university's burden of proof in a proceeding to terminate a tenured faculty member for cause.

Section 31. Third-Year Post-Tenure Reviews. Third-year post-tenure reviews will be informal reviews unless a department head and dean agree, or the Office of the Provost determines, that a formal review is necessary for the faculty member to meet expectations for a subsequent review. a. Informal Third-Year Reviews. The informal third year post tenure review is conducted by the appropriate department or unit head with the bargaining unit faculty member. Review Informal review materials will typically consist of a curriculum vitae, a brief personal statement (up to 3 pages) accounting for and explaining anything not clear from their CV, materials for the evaluation of teaching (where applicable), and a sabbatical report (where applicable) (Section 33). As a result of the review, tThe department or unit head will prepare a concise statement that includes an evaluation of whether the faculty member is exceeding, meeting, or not meeting expectations under their unit level policy (or Section 39 38, as appropriate). The department head will and share their statement it with the bargaining unit faculty member, who will have 14 10 business days to respond in writing. The review materials, head's statement, and faculty member's response are then sent to the dean and then to the Office of the Provost for approval. The head's statement and any response from the bargaining unit faculty member, dean, and Office of the Provost will be placed in the bargaining unit faculty member's personnel file. If in the process of the informal review, the department or unit head and dean agree, or the Office of the Provost determines, that a formal review is necessary to meet expectations on a subsequent review, they will initiate the Formal Review process below and notify the faculty member. If a formal review is initiated, the statement and response will become part of the formal review dossier. b. Formal Third-Year Reviews. This process applies to associate and full professors who undergo a formal third-year post-tenure review. The department or unit head will convene a faculty personnel committee (if one does not already exist in the department or unit) that will review a faculty member's work in relation to the unit level post-tenure review criteria, or the criteria in Section 38. If unit-level policies require a vote, the tenured department faculty with the same or higher rank, not including the candidate, will vote to endorse the committee's report and recommendation. The department or unit head will write a separate report in light of the materials gathered and the faculty committee's report and, if required, the faculty vote. The department or unit head will meet with the faculty member and will provide a copy of the head's report and the redacted faculty committee's report. The faculty member will have 10 business days from the date of the receipt of the report to provide responsive material or information, which shall be included in the evaluation file. These will be reviewed by the dean and the Office of the Provost. If the unit-level review criteria (or Section 39, as appropriate) were not met by the faculty member and the recommendation is re is consensus between the head, dean, or and Office of the Provost result of the review is to recommends to implement a development plan, then the head and faculty member will develop one in consultation with the dean to be approved by the Office of the Provost (Section $38 \frac{37}{}$).

Section 32. Sixth-Year Review. Only full professors will have sixth-year post-tenure reviews, which will be . Tenured bargaining unit faculty members will have a review in the sixth year following promotion to full professor or six years after their previous a sixth-year post-tenure review.

Section 33. Sixth-Year Review Period. The review period will include all work accomplished during the previous six years, taking into account any leaves and resulting clock stoppages (Section 7).

514 515

469 470

471 472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487 488

489

490

491

492

493 494

495

496

497

498

499

500 501

502

503

504

505 506

507

508 509

510 511

512

Section 34. Initiating the Sixth-Year Review. To initiate the review process, the department or unit head or designee will contact the bargaining unit faculty member during the fall term of the year in which the review will take place and request the following:

1. **Criteria:** Criteria for sixth-year post-tenure reviews will be as specified in Section 39 38 below unless the department or unit has approved post-tenure review criteria. If the review criteria have changed during the six years prior to the review, the faculty member may elect either the earlier or current set of criteria.

2. **Curriculum vitae:** A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the faculty member's current research, scholarly, and creative activities and accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations and similar activities. This document should clearly differentiate between accomplishments that occurred during the review period and those that did not.

3. **Personal statement:** A 3-6 page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit faculty member explaining how their provided material relates to demonstrates meeting or exceeding evaluating their performance measured against the applicable unit-level criteria for post-tenure review. The personal statement should expressly address the subjects of teaching; scholarship, research, and creative activity; service contributions to the academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community; and contributions to diversity, equity and inclusion.

4. **Sabbatical report:** A report of the accomplishments and benefits resulting from sabbatical, if applicable.

Section 35. Department or Unit Head's Role. The department or unit head or designee will obtain and place in the evaluation file copies of summary reports drawn, as appropriate, from the system of teaching student evaluation of teaching evaluation that was in effect prior to Fall 2019 and the Student Experience Surveys that were effective as of Fall 2019. The file must also include a recent peer evaluation of the bargaining unit faculty member's teaching. Peer teaching reviews should be aligned with the university-wide teaching standards as established by the University Senate.

Once the department or unit head has obtained all of the appropriate documents and information, they will establish a committee of full professors and provide the committee with access to the documents and information. The faculty committee will prepare a report and a recommendation regarding the outcome of the review. The report and recommendation will be reviewed by the committee who will vote on the recommendation. The department or unit head or designee will then:

1. Obtain a report from the faculty committee including an assessment of the bargaining unit faculty member's performance, a recommendation regarding the outcome of the review, and the results of the faculty vote; and

2. Prepare their own independent an evaluation based on their own judgment of the bargaining unit faculty member's performance that considers the entire file along with

previous levels of review takes into account the committee report and departmental discussion consistent with Section 9; and

- 3. Provide the department or unit head's report to the bargaining unit faculty member and allow them 14 10-days from the date of the receipt of the report to provide responsive material or information, which shall be included in the evaluation file; and
- 4. Submit the evaluation file to the appropriate dean.

 If a department or unit has or develops a policy or practice of providing the report of the faculty committee to the bargaining unit faculty member, the department or unit head shall do so.

Section 36. Dean's Role. The dean will review the file and may consult with appropriate persons and may obtain and document additional relevant information. Once the dean deems the file complete, they will prepare a separate report and independent recommendation. The dean will share their written report and recommendation with the bargaining unit faculty member, redacted as appropriate, and allow them 14 10-days from the date of receipt of the report to provide responsive material and information, which shall be included in the evaluation file. The dean will then submit the complete evaluation file to the Office of the Provost.

Section 37. Provost's Role. The Provost or designee will consider the cumulative evaluations received from the faculty committee, the department or unit head, and the dean.

[We are postponing discussion on this provision until we come to an agreement regarding merit review provision]

If the Provost or designee concludes that the bargaining unit faculty member's performance meets or exceeds expectations in all areas of a sixth-year review, the bargaining unit faculty member will receive an increase in their base salary per Article 26.

Section 38. Development Plans. If the Provost concludes that the bargaining unit faculty member's performance does not meet expectations in one or more areas, the dean and the department or unit head shall consult with the bargaining unit faculty member and shall recommend to the Provost a development plan for demonstrable improvement in the area(s) at issue. The goal of the plan is to put the faculty member on track to meet expectations in that area or areas at their subsequent review. The development plan should be implemented no later than the first term of the academic year following the review. Development plans may require adjustment of professional responsibilities (e.g., reduced service or teaching in order to support more research) and must specify a follow-up review timeline.

If a faculty member has a development plan, the criteria in the area(s) specified in the development plan will be in effect for those areas of the subsequent review. If the faculty member does not meet expectations in the specified area(s), the Provost may reduce or reassign the faculty member's FTE associated with the specified area(s) or may establish a new development plan or both. If the faculty member's FTE is adjusted, future reviews will reflect the adjustment of duties.

[We are postponing discussion on this provision until we come to an agreement regarding merit review provision]

A bargaining unit faculty member who has had their FTE or duties adjusted as the result of an unsuccessful development plan may elect to have their standard workload restored if, on a subsequent post-tenure review, they meet or exceed expectations in the areas in which the faculty member had previously been determined not to have met expectations. If the faculty member meets or exceeds expectations as outlined in the unit policies, they may, if they so choose, resume their standard workload the Fall following the year the post-tenure review was initiated.

Section 39. Post-Tenure Review Criteria. Review criteria for third- and sixth-year post-tenure review are as follows, unless a department or unit has an approved unit-level policy establishing their own post-tenure review criteria through the process specified in Article 4.

In cases where a tenured faculty member has a workload other than the standard tenure-track workload in the department or unit (e.g., with larger teaching and smaller research FTE, or vice versa) or is working under a development plan, the standard for meeting expectations in a third-or sixth-year review will be established by these alternate arrangements and informed by the unit-level policy and the guidelines below. [moved up from below] Each of the three areas below should include contributions in teaching, research, and service that demonstrably promote diversity, equity, and inclusion.

1. **Teaching:** Teaching standards as established by the University Senate.

2. **Research, scholarship, creative, and artistic achievement:** In general, research, scholarship, and creative achievement is demonstrated in the following categories. Each category applies in a given case only if that category is specified in the unit promotion and tenure policy. The standards of evaluation, unless otherwise specified by the unit policy, will be the standards established for promotion to full professor. Some categories to be considered include:

a. publications and/or creative activities of significance and;b. externally funded research;

c. patents, intellectual property developed; technologies licensed, companies spunoff;

d. adoptions of research innovations by other researchers, organizations, or the public;

e. research awards and prizes;

 f. membership in the national academies or other selective research societies; g. research in progress and substantially planned work (including grant proposals);

 h. translational research or scholarship that influences public policy or contributes to societal benefits;

i. participation in conferences, conventions, seminars, and professional meetings;

 j. professional peer review, holding office in academic and professional organizations, serving on committees and/or on editorial boards;

- association with organizations and groups that will result in professional improvement of the faculty member and bring recognition to the university;
- l. research or professional consultation for federal agencies, foundations, or other

657	research sponsors;
658	m. recognized evidence of scholarly and professional visibility, such as special
659	awards, scholarly citations, and the republication of work;
660	n. scope and depth of scholarship as revealed in public lectures, book reviews, and,
661	in special circumstances, discussions;
662	o. works of art, such as painting, sculpture, design, planning, musical composition,
663	poetry, fiction, drama, dance, photography, and film disseminated or exhibited in
664	recognized venues of quality and distinction;
665	p. public performances: musical recitals, concerts, conducting, theater performance
666	and production, dance performance and production, radio or television production
667	disseminated/exhibited in recognized venues of quality and distinction;
668	q. public recognition: exhibitions, commissions, acceptance of work for permanent
669	collections, awards.
670	
671	3. Service: Consistent with promotion to full professor as specified in the collective
672	bargaining agreement, senior faculty are expected to engage in significant service
673	demonstrating leadership and commitment both within and outside the candidate's
674	department or unit. Service must include some of the following:
675	a. leadership in academic and administrative roles:
676	i. academic program area or departmental administration and curriculum;
677	ii. personnel and policy committees or activities;
678	iii. college or school administration and committees or activities;
679	iv. university or state system administration and committees or activities.
680	b. service and activities on behalf of the larger community (local, state, national, and
681	international governmental bodies, NGOs, etc.);
682	c. academic contributions to community activities, either as an individual or as a
683	representative of the university;
684	d. service to professional and disciplinary organizations;
685 686	e. academic service on behalf of the public interest.
687	[moved up for clarity] 4. Contributions in teaching, research, and service that demonstrably
688	promote diversity, equity, and inclusion.
000	promote diversity, equity, and incrusion.
	Tentative Agreement (1/24/2025):
	MHAVIA
	Nathan Whalen (Jan 28, 2025 09:21 PST) Jan 28, 2025
	Nathan Whalen (United Academics)
	Jan 27, 2025
	Chris Meade (University of Oregon)
	Chi is micauc (Chironsity of Oregon)

1 2		UNIVERSITY OF OREGON MEDIATION PROPOSAL (1/24/2025) UNITED ACADEMICS MEDIATION PROPOSAL (1/24/2025)	
3		UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (4/18/2024)	
4		UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (3/14/2024)	
5		UNITED ACADEMICS PROPOSAL (2/29/2024)	
6			
7		Document Key	
8	IJA	new UA deletion UO new UO deletion Accepted Deleted Status Quo Restored	
9		the inferred post of the infer	
10	[Pac	kage . This is a package proposal with Article 15, 19, 20, and 34. The University reserves	
11	_	ight to reopen Article 34, Section 3 if it contributes to increasing the salary Article 26 but	
12		does not bind the University to do so.]	
13 14		ARTICLE 34. CAREGIVING	
15			
16	Sectio	n 1. Caregiving Support within the Contract. The University is committed to supporting	
17	bargai	ning unit faculty members in addressing their caregiving needs. Support in the contract	
18	includ	es:	
19			
20	a.	Consideration of caregiving responsibilities in scheduling (Article 17);	
21			
22	b.	Clock stoppage allowances for pregnancy or adoption for all tenure-related reviews	
23		(Article 20);	
24			
25	c.	Health insurance benefits (Article 27);	
26			
27	d.	Leaves (Article 32).	
28			
29	Sectio	n 2. Caregiving Support	
30			
31	a.	Care.com. Bargaining unit faculty members will have membership access to care.com to	
32		support caregivers in identifying individualized care providers and support.	
33			
34	b.	The University will continue to provide and maintain the UO Care Provider Network.	
35	a		
36		n 3. Travel Support Fund. Each year the University will contribute create a pool of	
37		000 to the Travel Support Fund to provide financial awards to bargaining unit faculty	
38		ers to mitigate the impacts of university travel on their families. Expenses covered by this	
39			
40	, ,		
41	transporting the dependent as well as a caregiver to a conference or meeting location; on-site		
42	dependent care at a conference or meeting; expenses related to storing and shipping expressed		
43		uring travel; etc. Awards from the pool can be up to \$1,000 each academic year per	
44		ning unit faculty member. Applications will typically be submitted at least (four) 4 months	
45	-	the anticipated trip and allocations announced (three) 3 months prior to travel. Any funds	
46	remair	ning from a given year will be added to the pool amount in the subsequent year. A report of	

1	funds requested, funds distributed, and applications denied will be provided to the Union by
2	September 1 each year for the previous at the end of the fiscal year.
3	
4	Section 4. Remote Teaching and Work. In cases of inclement weather or other emergencies
5	that cause closures of local school districts while the University of Oregon remains open,
6	bargaining unit faculty members with caregiving needs may work remotely for the duration of
7	the closure.
8	
9	Bargaining unit faculty members with instructional responsibilities who encounter a health crisis
10	for themselves or an immediate family member may teach one quarter remotely through remote
11	synchronous or asynchronous teaching if they are able to accomplish their work without
12	interruption or sacrifice of work quality. With the agreement of the department or unit head, the
13	remote arrangement may continue as needed.
14	
15	Non-teaching bargaining unit faculty members who encounter a health crisis for themselves or
16	an immediate family member will be able to work remotely for three months if they are able to
17	accomplish their work without interruption or sacrifice of work quality. With the agreement
18	of the PI or supervisor, the remote arrangement may continue as needed.
19	
20	During periods of remote work, bargaining unit faculty members remain subject to applicable
21	university policies and procedures, collective bargaining agreements, and federal and state
22	laws. Performance expectations do not change as a result of remote work arrangements.
23	Bargaining unit faculty members with flexible work arrangements are subject to the established
24	criteria and process for performance evaluation and shall not be penalized for working remotely.
25	
26	Section 5. Parking. Bargaining unit faculty members who demonstrate caregiving and end-of-
27	pregnancy needs are eligible to receive no-cost daily parking permits for their exclusive use for a
28	timeframe mutually agreed upon between the faculty member and their unit or department head.
	Tentative Agreement (1/24/2025):
	MHL W Luc
	Nathan Whalen (Jan 28, 2025 09:21 PST) Jan 28, 2025
	Nathan Whalen (United Academics)
	Jan 27, 2025

Chris Meade (University of Oregon)

TA Package - Articles 15, 19, 20, 34 - 2025.01.24

Final Audit Report 2025-01-28

Created: 2025-01-27

By: Dan Currier (dcurrier@uoregon.edu)

Status: Signed

Transaction ID: CBJCHBCAABAA20P3tc660dDyBW_O-wScCryypZiP8mD1

"TA Package - Articles 15, 19, 20, 34 - 2025.01.24" History

- Document created by Dan Currier (dcurrier@uoregon.edu) 2025-01-27 4:08:36 PM GMT- IP address: 76.144.94.101
- Document emailed to whalen@uauoregon.org for signature 2025-01-27 4:14:01 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Chris Meade (cmeade@uoregon.edu) for signature 2025-01-27 4:14:01 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Chris Meade (cmeade@uoregon.edu) 2025-01-27 4:20:18 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.56.126
- Email viewed by whalen@uauoregon.org
 2025-01-27 4:20:57 PM GMT- IP address: 66.249.84.225
- Document e-signed by Chris Meade (cmeade@uoregon.edu)

 Signature Date: 2025-01-27 4:21:57 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 67.171.220.111
- Signer whalen@uauoregon.org entered name at signing as Nathan Whalen 2025-01-28 5:21:29 PM GMT- IP address: 128.223.223.136
- Document e-signed by Nathan Whalen (whalen@uauoregon.org)

 Signature Date: 2025-01-28 5:21:31 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 128.223.223.136- Signature captured from device with phone number XXXXXXXX4753
- Agreement completed. 2025-01-28 - 5:21:31 PM GMT